[09-2022] Jurnal Nasional Sinta 2_Dr. Adam Malik, M.Pd

by Adam Malik

Submission date: 08-Apr-2023 03:59PM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 2058940812
File name: 09-2022_Jurnal_Nasional_Sinta_2_Dr._Adam_Malik,_M.Pd.pdf (632.26K)
Word count: 6088
Character count: 35423

JIPF (JURNAL ILMU PENDIDIKAN FISIKA)
p-ISSN: 2477-5959 | e-ISSN: 2477-8451 Vol. 7 No. 2 May 2022, Page 123-133

Development of Multiple Skill Laboratory Activity Model (MSLAM): An Instrument to Improve 21st Century Skills of Student

Adam Malik [1*)], Mujib Ubaidillah [2]
UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia[1], IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon, Indonesia[2]
[*)]Corresponding E-mail: adammalik@uinsgd.ac.id

Received: May 18th, 2021. Revised: August 12th, 2021. Accepted: September 22nd, 2021

Keywords :
Experimental Learning; 4C Skills; MSLAM; HOT Lab

ABSTRACT

This study aims to develop a Multiple Skills Laboratory Activities Model (MSLAM), which can train and develop students' 4C skills (critical, creative, communication, and collaboration) through laboratory activities. This study used the Research and Development method with the ADDIE (Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation) model. There are 70 students — 18 males and 52 females — who participate in this research. The research instrument consisted of expert validation sheets, tests, and performance assessments. The Likert and Guttmann scale analyzed the MSLAM validation sheet. The test results were analyzed using a normalized gain score <g>, and the performance assessment was analyzed using a Likert scale. The results of expert validation show that MSLAM is very feasible to be implemented in laboratory activities. The test results showed an increase in students' critical and creative thinking skills in the MSLAM group were greater than in the Higher Order Thinking Laboratory/HOT Lab group. Students' communication and collaborative skills in the MSLAM group were greater than in the HOT Lab group. These results indicate that the MSLAM model developed can improve students' 4C skills through laboratory activities. Thus, the MSLAM can be applied in physics learning to develop students' various higher-order thinking skills.

INTRODUCTION

Experiment activity became the important aspect of science learning because it showed how scientist found theory and it can improve much of student's thinking skills [1] [2] [3] such as problem-solving skills [4] [5] [6], creativity [7], learning outcomes and learning achievements [8] [9] [10] [11] and scientific attitudes [1] [12] [13] [14]. Previous studies, related to the development of experimental learning, can be classified into three main topics that are using and developing of analogue equipment/tools [15] [16] [17], using and developing of digital equipment/tools [18] [19] [20] [21] and using and developing of experiment activity model [22] [23] [24] [25].

Developing an experiment tool, analogue or digital is required in order to facilitate collecting data [25] [26]; meanwhile, it is different from the development of experiment activity model that has a function as an outer framework of student activity [27]. Experiment model is a reference for all learning process in the laboratory that was represented as guided or model used by students. Experiment model contains step-by-step activity that students must complete to achieve minimum competencies. However, in fact, models developed have the competencies targeted non holistically ─ one model had one target competence [28] [29] [30]. Meanwhile, there are many competencies in the 21st century that every student should have. Therefore, it needs to design a model that improves any competences by one experiment activity.

The development process has done based on its complexity from the lowest to the highest. Verification or cookbook model, the simplest experiment model, purposed to clarify the concept which has learned in the class [23] [31] [32][33]. Inquiry model is more complex than a cookbook model where students have to generate concept from experiment results [24] [34] [35]. Next, the problem-solving model designed to practice student's problem-solving skills [36] [37] 38] in which students must use concepts, that has been learned in the class, to solve the problems presented [30] [40]. The last developed model was the Higher Order Thinking Skills Laboratory (HOT Lab) that prepared students to find out the concepts at the initial stage and then used them in the last step. So, perhaps, it might be practicing student's ability at higher levels [41] [42] [43]. Meanwhile, HOT Lab model still has any limitation such as step-by-step activity and the tasks which have been resolved when used it to practice any skills simultaneously that seen in.

Based on the description, this research aimed to develop an experiment model that can increase any skills in one experiment activity. The development process was done by focusing on resolving the limitation of HOT Lab Model or to optimize it. The product, namely, Multiple Skill Laboratory Activity Model (MSLAM), was an innovation to increase the student's achievement through experiment activity. Skills that used as referred in this study were to the 21st-century skills, which were known as 4C skills (Critical Thinking Skills, Creative Thinking Skills, Collaboration Skills, and Communication Skills), as the basic competences in facing the 21st century.

METHOD

Research Design

This study used the ADDIE model that has five comprehensive steps which are analyzing, designing, developing, implementing and evaluating [44] [45]. Analyzing steps were the phase to analyze the necessity, feasibility, and type of school laboratory activity. In analyzing stage, the data collected from 82 teachers and used them to define what laboratory problems must be resolved, but this is not the focus of the research. Besides, this phase also provides a highlight of documents and materials through the current curriculum. In the design process, researchers decided MSLAM consist of three phases, called pre-laboratory, laboratory, and post-laboratory. Besides, researches were also decided electric current as used content. After that, MSLAM was developed based on repaired indicators of HOT Lab. MSLAM has 13 steps which are 1) real world problem, 2) experimental questions, 3) brainstorming, 4) ask an alternative idea, 5) conceptual questions, 6) predictions, 7) materials and equipment, 8) exploration, 9) measurements, 10) analysis, 11) conclusion, 12) presentation and 13) evaluation and reflection. In the last stage, students, 16 groups with eight groups in experiment class and eight groups in control class, used MSLAM and HOT Lab in their laboratory activity for four months. And then, they take the test to measure their ability in each of 4C skills.

Participants

There are 70 students ─ 18 males and 52 females ─ who participate in this research. Participants are the third-year students in Physics Education Department UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia that spread into 16 groups randomly. Participants were believed heterogeneous on necessary competencies and intellectual capability.

Instruments

The instruments have been used including validation sheet, filled by five experts in three concentrations which are learning media, physics content/material, and language, a test of critical thinking skills, a test of creative thinking skills, and observation sheet to analyze student collaborative and communication skills. Indicators of critical and creative thinking were modified from Binkley & Tiruneh and Torrance & Almeida framework [46] [47], while the communication and collaboration indicators modified from Levy and Trilling & Fadel framework [48] 49].

Scoring

The validation sheet was scored by using 5-point Likert scale which is strongly approved, approve, undecided, disapprove, strongly disapprove, and experts recommendation or suggestion for improvement [50]. Experts assessed MSLAM in two aspects which were didactic requirements and construction requirements [52] [52]. Besides, the experts were also assessing the validation of test instruments using 2-point Guttmann scale, 1 to appropriated and 0 to unappropriated, for three aspects which were the suitability between aspects of the skills and the questions, the relevance between indicators and problems, and the appropriateness of the answer key to the subject [53]. Then, scoring of student's test results for critical thinking skills and creative thinking skills used a 4-point Likert scale based on rubric assessment. Student's answers are scored four for totally correct, three for partial correct, two for partial incorrect, and one for incorrect answer. Then, scoring performance for communicative and collaborative thinking skills used a 4-point Likert scale referred to rubric assessment. Rubric scored four if students did all steps well, scored three if students did most levels well, scored two if students did some steps well, and scored one if students did most steps unwell. In the end, every scoring result transformed to 0-100 scores.

Data Analysis

The data analyzed using two approximation based on its sources. Firstly, the data of the validation instrument was used to get information about the quality of MSLAM, and the tools applied. There were including the validity of MSLAM, the validity of the critical and creative thinking test, the validity of the communication and collaboration achievement sheet. Then, the result interpreted based on criteria [24] [54]. Secondly, the data from the student's result test and achievement performance was analyzed using normalized gain <g>. Then, these result interpreted in its gain levels [55].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

These results will be presented through the ADDIE model, which are Analyzing, Designing, Developing, Implementing and Evaluating.

Analyzing

In this stage, researches have analyzed laboratory activities to find the problems, limitation, and chance to be developed. Finally, we found some issues have been to solve. This result showed that the most important problems to be solved were experiment tools and compatible model. So, in this study, we tried to solve problems related to the compatible model by analyzing indicators from previous models [42] [56] [57] in Table 1.

Table 1. Analysis of Stages of Implementing Various Models of Laboratory Activities

No	Indicators	VBL	IBL	PSL	HOT Lab
1	Real-world problem	-	-	V	V
2	Experimental question	V	V	V	V
3	Brainstorming	-	-	-	V
4	Propose alternative ideas	-	-	-	-
5	Conceptual questions	V	V	V	V
6	Prediction	V	V	V	V
7	Material and equipment	V	V	V	V

No	Indicators	VBL	IBL	PSL	HOT Lab
8	Exploration	-	V	V	V
9	Measurement	V	V	V	V
10	Analysis	V	V	V	V
11	Conclusion	V	V	V	V
12	Presentation	-	-	-	V
13	Evaluation and reflection	-	-	-	-

Note:
VBL: Verification Based Laboratory PSL: Problem Solving Laboratory
IBL: Inquiry Based Laboratory HOT Lab: Higher Order Thinking Laboratory

According to Table 1, the development of experimental models complements the limitations of previous models. Based on the item completeness of the activity, the last model developed, the HOT Lab model, has limitations in terms of presenting alternative ideas where students can submit ideas other than the options provided. In addition, the HOT Lab Model also does not have a series of activities in the form of evaluation so that the completeness of a learning process looks lacking. It is based on three main components in learning activities, namely planning, process, and evaluation [56] [57].

Designing

Analyzing results indicated several activities or steps that will solve the limitation of previous models that are adding two-step in HOT Lab model. These steps are "Discussing Ideas" supporting the propose alternative ideas indicator and "Evaluating and Reflecting" encouraging the last signs in Table 1. "Discussing Ideas" aimed to give a chance to the student to serve other solutions, not stated in the model, then can solve the problems implied in the model in order to make students more creative. "Evaluating and Reflecting" step was the last step where teacher and students, together, review what they were learned. The teacher confirmed what student found in the experiment in the case to avoid misconceptions and to explain the difference result of the students. For more detail, the step-by-step of MSLAM are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Step-by-step MSLAM Activities

No	Indicators	Student Activity
1	Real-world problem	The student independently analyzed and decided the idea to solve the problems
2	Experimental question	The student individually answered the questions from the model
3	Brainstorming	Students in the group discussed their idea
4	Propose alternative ideas	The student in their group was able to add other ideas
5	Conceptual questions	The student independently examined every thought in their group by answering the question
6	Prediction	Students regardless predicted his/her opinion and tried to predict by using other purposes in his/her group.
7	Material and equipment	Students in the group prepared the material and equipment to examine their solution, just for one solution selected.
8	Exploration	Students in the group set the tools to examine their solution
9	Measurement	Students in the group collected data from the experiment
10	Analysis	Students in the group analyzed the data to solve the problems
11	Conclusion	Students in the group concluded the idea that used to solve the problem and the result of the experiment
12	Presentation	Students in the group presented what were they did and what where they got.
13	Evaluation and reflection	Teacher or another student confirmed the result of each group and made the last conclusion.

Developing

The development stage of each indicator and student activity were designed into MSLAM at electrical current content. In this stage, Model and instruments were also validated using three aspects, as seen in Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5.

Table 3. Results of Validation Models of Multiple Skills Laboratory Activities

No	Aspect assessed	Validator (%)					Average (%)	Criteria
		I	II	III	IV	V		
1	Didactic requirements	89	80	83	74	80	81	Good
2	Construction requirements	86	88	83	82	84	84	Very good
	Didactic and construction requirements	87	86	83	80	84	84	Very good

Table 3 is the result of the assessment of five validators. The average score of didactic for requirements reached 81.00% with good criteria, and for construction, requirements reached 84% with very good criteria. Overall, the average score reached 84% indicating this model has very good criteria. Based on the criteria, the average score intended for two aspects of the assessment, namely didactic requirements and construction requirements, it can be concluded that this model might be used in college or school [54]. The results of this validation indicate that the developed model can be accepted both didactically and constructively.

Table 4. The Recapitulation Results of the Validation of Test

Aspect	Percentage skills score		interpretation
	Critical thinking	Creative thinking	
The suitability of aspects of the skills with the questions	100	100	Accepted
The suitability of the indicator with the questions	100	100	Accepted
The suitability of the answer key to the question	100	100	Accepted

Based on Table 4, instruments of the test were possible to use in the learning. From 100% score in both thinking skills, these instruments were accepted able to measure the competencies of students [58] For critical and creative thinking instruments, it is a test instrument in the form of questions related to indicators of critical thinking and creative thinking skills. This instrument is declared conceptually feasible based on expert agreement through the resulting validation sheet [59].

Table 5. The Recapitulation Results of the Validation of the Performance Assessment

Aspect	Percentage skills score		Interpretation
	Communication	Collaborative	
The suitability of aspects of the skills with the statements	100	100	Accepted
The suitability of the indicator with the statements	100	100	Accepted
The suitability of the answer key to the statements	100	100	Accepted

The instrument for communication and collaboration skills is in the form of observation sheets. There are seven indicator statements presented in the collaboration ability observation sheet consisting of 1) Contributing actively to the group; 2) Collaborate with different types of people; 3) responsible for

completing tasks; 4) management of group assignments; 5) cooperate, accept suggestions and joint decisions; 6) show respect for friends or team; and 7) adapting to various roles in the group. Furthermore, in scientific communication skills, four aspects that are used as references for instrument development are scientific writing, information representation, and knowledge representation. Based on the results of validation by experts, performance assessment instruments were 100% of acceptable [60] [61].

Implementing

In the implementation stage, students did experiment activity and filled the Model. Furthermore, the student was also tested and observed to analyse their thinking skill and their performances. The result of the student's critical thinking skills and student creative thinking skills shown in Table 6 and Table 7.

Table 6. The Average of Gain Normalised of Critical and Creative Thinking Skill

Skills	(N-gain)		Criteria	
	Experiment	Control	Experiment	Control
Critical thinking	0.84	0.53	High	Medium
Creative thinking	0.81	0.51	High	Medium

The students in the experiment class have a higher level of enhancement than the students in the control class. The experiment class was using MSLAM, and the control class was using HOT Lab. The average score in experiment class is 0.825 with the high criteria and in the control class was 0.52 with medium criteria [55].

Table 7. The Achievement of Communication and Collaboration Skills in Each Group

Skills	Experiment (%)	Control (%)
Communication	65.70	55.12
Collaboration	65.00	57.00

The students' performance results in Table 7 is also showing that students in the experiment class were better than students in the control class. However, that is not a significant difference where the average score in the experiment class is 65.35, while the average score in the control class is 56.06.

Evaluating

This research was conducted to increase student's skills and to improve the previous model by fixing its limitation. However, this research still found several obstacles, including: 1) Time management to carry out laboratory activities of multiple skills models in various stages (pre-laboratory, laboratory, and post-laboratory) required discipline; 2) Students' understanding of related concepts to solve real-world problems needs to be improved; 3) The evaluation and reflection phase is not optimal because some students are still confused about what to write on the reflection sheet; 4) For further development, researches provided the suggest of this research that can be done as follows: 1) The teacher should check and make sure students have done all the work in the pre-laboratory stage that has been done before doing the laboratory stage; 2) Students are encouraged to master concepts related to real-world problems by being more diligent in reading and seeking information from various references. Students are given the opportunity to express what has been understood

Discussion

ADDIE process has been used to develop Multiple Skill Laboratory Activity Model (MSLAM). According to the analysis stage, teachers, facilitators at school, needed a guide or model experiment that supported the learning process to achieve many competences of the curriculum. The guide needed

is an integrated Model. Integrated model made the learning process more effective to practice any skills [62] [63]. Then, researches designed a new model referring to HOT Lab model as the last developed laboratory model by adding several steps such as "propose alternative ideas" and "evaluation and reflection". Propose alternative ideas aimed to provide opportunities for students to develop their creative thinking skills [64]. Evaluation and reflection step was crucial to know the impressions and problems found by the students from the learning [65]. In addition, for the teacher, this step can be used to give reinforcement related topics, so the student will not have misconception anymore [66].

Based on Table 3, MSLAM has good appreciation in which didactic aspect has an average percentage of 81%. Its mean, MSLAM was a functional model to deliver and guide students. Another result showed that the construction aspect MSLAM has 84% average score that means the Model will be able to practice students skills [66] [67] [68]. Based on Table 4 and Table 5, that show experts assessment of thinking skill test and performance assessment, it can be concluded that all of the instruments were available to use in measuring students competencies such as critical, creative, communicative, and collaborative [66] [69] [70] [71]. In the result of student's responses, it can be concluded that students in the experiment class were better than did students in the control class. Students in experiment class are always having higher score in every competence. In the critical thinking skills result, experiment class had the gain score 0.84 > 0.53 in the control class. The same effect shown in creative thinking skill was in experiment class had gain score 0.81 > 0.51 in the control class. The result of performance assessment is the same as the thinking skills. Based on Table 7, students in the experiment class is more dominating than students in the control class. There are 65.35% of experiment activity can be done well by a student in experiment class. It is higher than the control class, which is only 56.06%. According to previous research, this is due to several factors, including the length of study and the type of learning activities. Students in MSLAM have more variations of learning and activities than HOT Lab model [66] [67] [68] [69] [70] [71] [72] [73] [74]. Based on this result, it can be concluded that all stage on MSLAM with includes add the step of "propose alternative ideas" and "evaluation and reflection" proven to improve 4C skills of students.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Based on the development process and test results, it can be concluded that MSLAM is a model guiding the students to achieve the 4C skill in laboratory activity. As the HOT Lab model improved, MSLAM has didactic and construction requirement's average scores of 81% and 84%. The test results showed an increase in students' critical thinking skills by 0.84 in the MSLAM group and 0.53 in the HOT Lab group. The increase in students' creative thinking skills in the MSLAM group was 0.81, greater than that in the HOT Lab group of 0.51. Students' communication skills in the MSLAM group 65.70%, and the HOT Lab group 55.12%. Student collaboration skills in the MSLAM group scored 65.00%, and the HOT Lab group scored 57.00%. These results indicated that the MSLAM instrument could be used as a reference for further research to practice any skills in one experiment activity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was financially supported by the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia via the Directorate General of Islamic Education through the 1967 Grant in 2019 regarding the recipients of global/international applied research assistance in 2019 fiscal to facilitate and fund this research.

REFERENCES

[1] Kilic, A. (2018). The Role Of Science Experiment Centers In Scientific Gifted Children's Science

Education. *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *1*(1): 120-125.
[2] Ardianto, D., & Rubini, B. (2016). Comparison Of Students'scientific Literacy In Integrated Science Learning Through Model Of Guided Discovery And Problem Based Learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, *5*(1): 31-37.
[3] Zulirfan, I., Osman, K., & Salehudin, S. N. M. (2018). Take-home-experiment: Enhancing students' scientific attitude. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, *17*(5): 828.
[4] Ceberio, M., Almudí, J. M., & Franco, Á. (2016). Design and application of interactive simulations in problem-solving in university-level physics education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *25*(4): 590-609.
[5] Huitt, T. W., Killins, A., & Brooks, W. S. (2015). Team-based learning in the gross anatomy laboratory improves academic performance and students' attitudes toward teamwork. *Anatomical sciences education*, *8*(2): 95-103.
[6] Morrison, B. B., Margulieux, L. E., Ericson, B., & Guzdial, M. (2016, February). Subgoals help students solve Parsons problems. In *Proceedings of the 47th ACM Technical Symposium on Computing Science Education* (pp. 42-47).
[7] Conner, T. S., DeYoung, C. G., & Silvia, P. J. (2018). Everyday creative activity as a path to flourishing. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, *13*(2): 181-189.
[8] Uzezi, J. G., & Zainab, S. (2017). Effectiveness of guided-inquiry laboratory experiments on senior secondary schools students academic achievement in volumetric analysis. *American Journal of Educational Research*, *5*(7): 717-724.
[9] Stephenson, N. S., & Sadler-McKnight, N. P. (2016). Developing critical thinking skills using the science writing heuristic in the chemistry laboratory. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, *17*(1): 72-79.
[10] Knight, D., Hannigan, M., Cheadle, L., & Hafich, K. (2017, October). Introducing university laboratory tools into K-12 classrooms: Benefits and challenges. In *2017 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
[11] Barrie, S. C., Bucat, R. B., Buntine, M. A., Burke da Silva, K., Crisp, G. T., George, A. V., ... & Yeung, A. (2015). Development, evaluation and use of a student experience survey in undergraduate science laboratories: the advancing science by enhancing learning in the laboratory student laboratory learning experience survey. *International Journal of Science Education*, *37*(11): 1795-1814.
[12] Ural, E. (2016). The Effect of Guided-Inquiry Laboratory Experiments on Science Education Students' Chemistry Laboratory Attitudes, Anxiety and Achievement. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, *4*(4): 217-227.
[13] Kurbanoglu, N., & Akin, A. (2010). The relationships between university students' chemistry laboratory anxiety, attitudes, and self-efficacy beliefs. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education (Online)*, *35*(8): 48-59.
[14] Long, J., Lim, K., White, P., & Bentley, I. (2017, January). Advancing Science and Engineering through Laboratory Learning (ASELL) in Victorian Schools. In *Proceedings of the 2016 STEM-Education Conference*. Deakin University.
[15] Tsygankov, P. Y., Khudeev, I. I., Lebedev, A. E., Lebedev, E. A., & Menshutina, N. V. (2018). Lab scale high-pressure equipment for supercritical drying. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, *70*: 877-882.
[16] Malik, A., Zakwandi, R., Nurfalah, S., Nurhayati, N., Rochman, C., & Nasrudin, D. (2018, November). Measuring the coefficient of emissivity using thermal radiation equipment SNR V-1.4 SL. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 434, No. 1, p. 012017). IOP Publishing.
[17] Mulhayatiah, D., Suhendi, H. Y., Zakwandi, R., Dirgantara, Y., & Ramdani, M. A. (2018, November). Moment of inertia: development of rotational dynamics KIT for physics students. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 434, No. 1, p. 012014). IOP Publishing.
[18] Fernández, A. P., Rodríguez, S. F., Evans, M. D., Sgamma, T., Marcos, C. H., Izquierdo, F., ... & de la Puente, C. D. Á. (2018). Development of a virtual environment for teaching and learning biomedical techniques and equipment for the study of human pathogens. In *Edulearn 18. 10th*

International Conference on Education and New Learning Technology:(Palma, 2nd-4th of July, 2018). Conference proceedings (pp. 7249-7253). IATED Academy.

[19] Akçayır, M., Akçayır, G., Pektaş, H. M., & Ocak, M. A. (2016). Augmented reality in science laboratories: The effects of augmented reality on university students' laboratory skills and attitudes toward science laboratories. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *57*: 334-342.

[20] Wang, Y., Wei, Z., Cao, J., & Liu, Z. (2019, April). Research and Implementation of Big Data Technology Laboratory Equipment Reservation Management System. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 252, No. 4, p. 042072). IOP Publishing.

[21] Setya, W., & Zakwandi, R. (2019, December). Development of Android-base media on the point of glass and lens. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1402, No. 4, p. 044103). IOP Publishing.

[22] Malik, A., Setiawan, A., Suhandi, A., Permanasari, A., Samsudin, A., Dirgantara, Y., ... & Hermita, N. (2019, April). The development of higher order thinking laboratory (hotlab) model related to heat transfer topic. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1204, No. 1, p. 012060). IOP Publishing.

[23] Luckie, D. B., Smith, J. J., Cheruvelil, K. S., Fata-Hartley, C., Murphy, C. A., & Urquhart, G. R. (2013). The "Anti-cookbook laboratory": Converting "canned" introductory biology laboratories to multi-week independent investigations. *Proceedings of the Association for Biology Laboratory Education*, *34*: 196-213.

[24] Rahmi, Y. L., Novriyanti, E., Ardi, A., & Rifandi, R. (2018, April). Developing Guided Inquiry-Based Student Lab Worksheet for Laboratory Knowledge Course. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 335, No. 1, p. 012082). IOP Publishing.

[25] Malik, A., Zakwandi, R., Agustina, R. D., Anjani, R., Syamsudin, N. R., Rochman, C., & Nasrudin, D. (2019, December). [RAV] current meter: Manufacture a measuring instrument of water current using a spring balance. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1402, No. 4, p. 044086). IOP Publishing.

[26] de Moura, L., Dos Santos, W. R., Castro, S. S. D., Ito, E., da Luz e Silva, D. C., Yokota, R. T. D. C., ... & Sabariego, C. (2019). Applying the ICF linking rules to compare population-based data from different sources: an exemplary analysis of tools used to collect information on disability. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, *41*(5): 601-612.

[27] Wulandari, I. G. A., Sa'dijah, C., As'ari, A. R., & Rahardjo, S. (2018, June). Modified guided discovery model: a conceptual framework for designing learning model using guided discovery to promote student's analytical thinking skills. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1028, No. 1, p. 012153). IOP Publishing.

[28] Dewi, C. A. (2019). Improving creativity of prospective chemistry teacher through chemoentrepreneurship oriented inquiry module on colloid topics. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1156, No. 1, p. 012017). IOP Publishing.

[29] Hurst, J. B. (1974). Competency-based modules and inquiry teaching. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, *43*(2): 35-39.

[30] Dewi, G. A. C., Sunarno, W., & Supriyanto, A. (2019, February). The needs analysis on module development based on creative problem solving method to improve students' problem solving ability. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1153, No. 1, p. 012129). IOP Publishing.

[31] Heemstra, J. M., Waterman, R., Antos, J. M., Beuning, P. J., Bur, S. K., Columbus, L., ... & Stanley, L. M. (2017). Throwing away the cookbook: implementing course-based undergraduate research experiences (CUREs) in chemistry. In *Educational and Outreach Projects from the Cottrell Scholars Collaborative Undergraduate and Graduate Education Volume 1* (pp. 33-63). American Chemical Society.

[32] McPherson, H. (2018). Transition from cookbook to problem-based learning in a high school chemistry gas law investigation. *Teaching Science*, *64*(1): 47-51.

[33] Blanchard, M. R., Southerland, S. A., Osborne, J. W., Sampson, V. D., Annetta, L. A., & Granger, E. M. (2010). Is inquiry possible in light of accountability?: A quantitative comparison of the relative effectiveness of guided inquiry and verification laboratory instruction. *Science education*, *94*(4): 577-616.

[34] Rukmana, D. (2018). Integration of Learning Cycle Stage with Inquiry Labs Method in Learning Physics to Improve Cognitive Ability and Science Process Skills of High School Student.

Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA, *8*(2).
[35] McGrath, A. L., & Hughes, M. T. (2018). Students with learning disabilities in inquiry-based science classrooms: A cross-case analysis. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, *41*(3): 131-143.
[36] Jonassen, D. H., & Hung, W. (2015). All problems are not equal: Implications for problem-based learning. *Essential readings in problem-based learning: Exploring and extending the legacy of Howard S. Barrows*, *1741*.
[37] Neubert, J. C., Mainert, J., Kretzschmar, A., & Greiff, S. (2015). The assessment of 21st century skills in industrial and organizational psychology: Complex and collaborative problem solving. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, *8*(2): 238-268.
[38] Powell, L. E., Wild, M. R., Glang, A., Ibarra, S., Gau, J. M., Perez, A., ... & Slocumb, J. (2019). The development and evaluation of a web-based programme to support problem-solving skills following brain injury. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, *14*(1): 21-32.
[39] McLaren, B. M., van Gog, T., Ganoe, C., Karabinos, M., & Yaron, D. (2016). The efficiency of worked examples compared to erroneous examples, tutored problem solving, and problem solving in computer-based learning environments. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *55*: 87-99.
[40] Özsoy, G., & Ataman, A. (2009). The effect of metacognitive strategy training on mathematical problem solving achievement. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, *1*(2): 67-82.
[41] Maharaj, A., & Wagh, V. (2016). Formulating tasks to develop HOTS for first-year calculus based on Brookhart abilities. *South African Journal of Science*, *112*(11-12): 1-6.
[42] Malik, A. (2018). *Pengembangan Higher Order Thinking Laboratory (Hot-Lab) Untuk Meningkatkan Transferable Skills Mahasiswa Calon Guru Fisika* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia).
[43] Sinclair, K. J., Renshaw, C. E., & Taylor, H. A. (2004). Improving computer-assisted instruction in teaching higher-order skills. *Computers & Education*, *42*(2): 169-180.
[44] Almomen, R. K., Kaufman, D., Alotaibi, H., Al-Rowais, N. A., Albeik, M., & Albattal, S. M. (2016). Applying the ADDIE—analysis, design, development, implementation and evaluation—instructional design model to continuing professional development for primary care physicians in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *7*(8): 538-546.
[45] Uzunboylu, H., & Kosucu, E. (2017). Comparison and evaluation of Seels & Glasgow and Addie instructional design model. *Ponte*, *73*(6): 98-112.
[46] Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Herman, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., Miller-Ricci, M., & Rumble, M. (2012). Defining twenty-first century skills. In *Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills* (pp. 17-66). Springer, Dordrecht.
[47] Almeida, L. S., Prieto, L. P., Ferrando, M., Oliveira, E., & Ferrándiz, C. (2008). Torrance Test of Creative Thinking: The question of its construct validity. *Thinking skills and creativity*, *3*(1): 53-58.
[48] Spektor-Levy, O., Eylon, B. S., & Scherz, Z. (2008). Teaching communication skills in science: Tracing teacher change. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *24*(2): 462-477.
[49] Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: Learning for life in our times*. John Wiley & Sons.
[50] Chyung, S. Y., Roberts, K., Swanson, I., & Hankinson, A. (2017). Evidence-based survey design: The use of a midpoint on the Likert scale. *Performance Improvement*, *56*(10): 15-23.
[51] Saputra, A., & Advinda, L. (2018). Development of biology learning module nuanced quran in learning material of coordination system for Islamic senior high school students. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies*, *11*(1): 55-60.
[52] Karnela, D. L., & Anhar, A. (2018). Validity of Biology Module Oriented Meaningfull Learning for Student Class XI. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies*, *7*(1): 31-39.
[53] Mabruroh, F., & Suhandi, A. (2017, February). Construction of critical thinking skills test instrument related the concept on sound wave. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 812, No. 1, p. 012056). IOP Publishing.
[54] Hawk, T. F., & Shah, A. J. (2007). Using learning style instruments to enhance student learning. *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, *5*(1): 1-19.

[55] Hake, R. (1999). Analyzing change/Gain Score. *Dept. of Physics, Indiana University*.
[56] Arabacioglu, S., & Unver, A. O. (2016). Supporting inquiry based laboratory practices with mobile learning to enhance students' process skills in science education. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, *15*(2): 216.
[57] Wu, M. (2005). The role of plausible values in large-scale surveys. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *31*(2-3): 114-128.
[58] Basha, S., Drane, D., & Light, G. (2016). Adapting the Critical Thinking Assessment Test for Palestinian Universities. *Journal of Education and Learning*, *5*(2): 60-72.
[59] Grant, M., & Smith, M. (2018). Quantifying assessment of undergraduate critical thinking. *Journal of College Teaching & Learning (TLC)*, *15*(1): 27-38.
[60] Talib, A. M., Alomary, F. O., & Alwadi, H. F. (2018). Assessment of student performance for course examination using rasch measurement model: A case study of information technology fundamentals course. *Education Research International*, *2018*.
[61] Jüttner, M., Boone, W., Park, S., & Neuhaus, B. J. (2013). Development and use of a test instrument to measure biology teachers' content knowledge (CK) and pedagogical content knowledge (PCK). *Educational assessment, evaluation and accountability*, *25*(1): 45-67.
[62] Clipa, O. (2015). Roles and strategies of teacher evaluation: Teachers' perceptions. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *180*: 916-923.
[63] Dewi, R. P., Kurniati, T., & Fitriani, F. (2019). Efektivitas Model Collaborative Teamwork Learning Berbasis Praktikum Pada Sub Materi Reaksi Pengendapan Terhadap Sikap Ilmiah dan Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas XI IPA SMA Negeri 2 Sungai Raya. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ar-Razi*, *7*(1).
[64] Brown, A. E. C., Suryadevara, M., Welch, T. R., & Botash, A. S. (2017). " Being Persistent without Being Pushy": Student Reflections on Vaccine Hesitancy. *Narrative Inquiry in Bioethics*, *7*(1): 59-70.
[65] Wang, X., Schneider, C., & Valacich, J. S. (2015). Enhancing creativity in group collaboration: How performance targets and feedback shape perceptions and idea generation performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *42*: 187-195.
[66] Sari, K. A., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Wibowo, W. S. (2017). Development of science student worksheet based on project based learning model to improve collaboration and communication skills of junior high school student. *Journal of Science Education Research*, *1*(1).
[67] Tinungki, G. M. (2015). The Role of Cooperative Learning Type Team Assisted Individualization to Improve the Students' Mathematics Communication Ability in the Subject of Probability Theory. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *6*(32): 27-31.
[68] Sundayana, R., Herman, T., Dahlan, J. A., & Prahmana, R. C. (2017). Using ASSURE learning design to develop students' mathematical communication ability. *World Transactions on Engineering and Technology Education*, *15*(3): 245-249.
[69] Fuad, N. M., Zubaidah, S., Mahanal, S., & Suarsini, E. (2017). Improving Junior High Schools' Critical Thinking Skills Based on Test Three Different Models of Learning. *International Journal of instruction*, *10*(1): 101-116.
[70] Hadi, S. A., Susantini, E., & Agustini, R. (2018). Training of students' critical thinking skills through the implementation of a modified free inquiry model. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 947, No. 1, p. 012063). IOP Publishing.
[71] Maya, C. A., Wilujeng, I., & Hastuti, P. W. (2017). Development Of Sets-Based With Outdoor Learning-Method Of Student Worksheet To Advance Environmental Science Process Skill And Attitude In A VIIth Grade High School Students. *Journal of Science Education Research*, *1*(1).
[72] Vidergor, H. E. (2018). Effectiveness of the multidimensional curriculum model in developing higher-order thinking skills in elementary and secondary students. *The curriculum journal*, *29*(1): 95-115.
[73] Herath, C. P., Thelijjagoda, S., & Gunarathne, W. K. T. M. (2015, August). Stakeholders' psychological factors affecting E-learning readiness in higher education community in Sri Lanka. In *2015 8th International Conference on Ubi-Media Computing (UMEDIA)* (pp. 168-173). IEEE.
[74] Sasmaz Oren, F., & Ormanci, U. (2012). An Application about Pre-Service Teachers' Development and Use of Worksheets and an Evaluation of Their Opinions about the Application. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, *12*(1), 263-270.

[09-2022] Jurnal Nasional Sinta 2_Dr. Adam Malik, M.Pd

ORIGINALITY REPORT

13%	9%	8%	4%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.ijcrsee.com Internet Source	1%
2	core.ac.uk Internet Source	1%
3	Submitted to Universitas Terbuka Student Paper	1%
4	garuda.ristekbrin.go.id Internet Source	1%
5	worldwidescience.org Internet Source	1%
6	vdocuments.site Internet Source	1%
7	A Malik, M Ubaidillah, S Fatmawati, P Aswirna, M Qaddafi, S Sutarno. "Collaborative skills of prospective teachers in laboratory activities related to the concept of elasticity", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	1%
8	Submitted to University of Dundee Student Paper	

		<1%
9	idr.uin-antasari.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
10	I D Rahmawati, Suparmi, W Sunarno. "Students concept understanding of fluid static based on the types of teaching", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	<1%
11	journal.unismuh.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
12	A Setiawan, A Malik, A Suhandi, A Permanasari. "Effect of Higher Order Thinking Laboratory on the Improvement of Critical and Creative Thinking Skills", IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2018 Publication	<1%
13	Lili Liu. "Face-to-face Teaching in the Flipped Classroom Supported by Visualization Tools – Taking the course of “Architectural Design of Housing” as an Example", International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 2019 Publication	<1%
14	www.etudegroup.org Internet Source	<1%

15	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	<1%
16	zombiedoc.com Internet Source	<1%
17	Binar Kurnia Prahani, Iqbal Ainur Rizki, Khoirun Nisa', Nina Fajriyah Citra, Hanan Zaki Alhusni, Firmanul Catur Wibowo. "Implementation of online problem-based learning assisted by digital book with 3D animations to improve student's physics problem-solving skills in magnetic field subject", Journal of Technology and Science Education, 2022 Publication	<1%
18	Daud Dakabesi, Isana Supiah Yosephine Luoise. "The effectiveness of problem-based learning model to increase the students' critical thinking skills", Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn), 2019 Publication	<1%
19	L Lufri, F Laili, A Anhar. "Effect Scientific Approach with Assistance of Student Worksheets based PBL towards Students' Biology Affective Competence in Bacterial Learning Material", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	<1%

20	Lukman Hakim, Y Yennita, Z Zulirfan, Neni Hermita. "The Need Analysis in the Development of Students' Virtual STEM Project for Science Education", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1 %
21	eprints.utm.my Internet Source	<1 %
22	ejournal.radenintan.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
23	repository.upi.edu Internet Source	<1 %
24	stem-in-ed2018.com.au Internet Source	<1 %
25	Asyti Febliza, Zul Afdal, Jimmi Copriady. "Improving Students' Critical Thinking Skills: Is Interactive Video and Interactive Web Module Beneficial?", International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 2023 Publication	<1 %
26	Meria Ultra Gusteti, Ronal Rifandi, Trysa Gustya Manda, Melani Putri. "The development of 3D animated video for mathematics learning in elementary schools", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	<1 %

27	Parno, L Yuliati, N Munfaridah, M Ali, N Indrasari, F U N Rosyidah. "The impact of STEM-based guided inquiry learning on students' scientific literacy in the topic of fluid statics", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1%
28	RAZ El Islami, I J Sari, S Sjaifuddin, M Nurtanto, M Ramli, A Siregar. "An Assessment of Pre-service Biology Teachers on Student Worksheets Based on Scientific Literacy", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 Publication	<1%
29	Rahadian Sholikhati, Mardiyana, Dewi Retno Sari Saputro. "Students' thinking level based on intrapersonal intelligence", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2017 Publication	<1%
30	S Sapriadil, A Setiawan, A Suhandi, A Malik, D Safitri, S A S Lisdiani, N Hermita. "Optimizing students' scientific communication skills through higher order thinking virtual laboratory (HOTVL)", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	<1%
31	digilib.unimed.ac.id Internet Source	<1%

32	e-journal.stkipsiliwangi.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
33	icls2020.org Internet Source	<1 %
34	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1 %
35	A Malik, A Setiawan, A Suhandi, A Permanasari, A Samsudin, Y Dirgantara, H Y Suhendi, I M Sari, N Hermita. "The development of higher order thinking laboratory (hotlab) model related to heat transfer topic", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 Publication	<1 %
36	Riska Dwi Rahma Putri, Titik Ratnasari, Desnia Trimadani, Halimatussakdiah Halimatussakdiah et al. "Pentingnya Keterampilan Abad 21 Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika", Science and Education Journal (SICEDU), 2022 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		