



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/cimw20

Colonial informants and the Acehnese-Dutch war

Haji Hasan Mustapa's response to Teuku Umar's collaboration with the Dutch authorities in the East Indies

Jajang A. Rohmana

To cite this article: Jajang A. Rohmana (2021) Colonial informants and the Acehnese-Dutch war, Indonesia and the Malay World, 49:143, 63-81, DOI: <u>10.1080/13639811.2021.1855022</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/13639811.2021.1855022

0.0				

Published online: 15 Jan 2021.



Submit your article to this journal 🗗

Article views: 117



View related articles 🗹



🤳 View Crossmark data 🗹

Routledge Taylor & Francis Group

Check for updates

COLONIAL INFORMANTS AND THE ACEHNESE-DUTCH WAR Haji Hasan Mustapa's response to Teuku Umar's collaboration with the Dutch authorities in the East Indies

Jajang A. Rohmana 回

ABSTRACT

This article discusses the contribution of colonial informants during the Acehnese-Dutch war (1873-c.1912) in responding to Teuku Umar's collaboration with the Dutch authorities. The object of this study is a collection of letters from the Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja (1893–1895), Haji Hasan Mustapa, to his colonial friend, C. Snouck Hurgronje. These letters are held at the Leiden University Library (Cod. Or. 18.097). Hasan Mustapa's name is rarely mentioned in studies of the Acehnese-Dutch war. He constantly provided information relating to the war to Snouck Hurgronje who was living in Batavia at the time. This study confirms that Hasan Mustapa's position was significant in gaining information about Teuku Umar's collaboration with the Dutch authorities. Hasan Mustapa acquired information from both Acehnese informants and Dutch officials, and believed that Teuku Umar could not be trusted. Hasan Mustapa's information on Teuku Umar was important for Snouck Hurgronje's advice to the Dutch authorities in the East Indies. This is therefore a study on the closeness of informants and their patrons during colonial times in the East Indies archipelago.

KEYWORDS

Aceh; Dutch; colonial war East Indies; Hasan Mustapa; Snouck Hurgronje; Teuku Umar

Introduction

Early sources mention the importance of Aceh as a place of cultural and commercial contact with other communities in the world (Feener 2011: 1). Aceh was called the 'Veranda of Mecca', and is one of the most studied regions in Indonesia. Many aspects of Aceh have been extensively investigated, including perspectives of political history, as well as social, intellectual, and anthropological studies, and thus Aceh seems over-analysed compared to other regions of the Indonesian archipelago (Azra 2010: vii).

One of the most important periods in Aceh's history is the Acehnese-Dutch war (1873--c.1912). It is one of the three most influential national events in Acehnese consciousness; the others being the golden age of Sultan Iskandar Muda in the 17th century, and the national revolution in 1945 (Reid 1990: 164). The war between the Acehnese and the Dutch government resulted in a high number of casulties as well as being very costly. According to Abdullah, Aceh lost almost 4% of its population.¹ The war had an

¹Whilst Abdullah (1987) does not provide the Acehnese death toll in numbers, other scholars such as McFate (2018) provide figures ranging from 75,000 deaths or 15% of the population, to 100,000 (Raben 2012).

© 2021 Editors, Indonesia and the Malay World

CONTACT Jajang A. Rohmana 🖾 jajangarohmana@uinsgd.ac.id

important role in laying the foundations for the struggle of Acehnese identity, and determining the boundaries of the Indonesian state (Abdullah 1987: 9).

The Dutch authorities employed many intrigues and strategies to win the Aceh war. One major figure was the most important Dutch advisor, Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje (1857–1936), who was assigned to report on Aceh's resistance to Dutch authority (Benda 1958: 340–341; Carvalho 2010; van Niel 1957: 593; Steenbrink 1995; Suminto 1996). Snouck Hurgronje's book, *De Atjehers* (1893–94), describes his deep knowledge of Aceh (Kaptein and van der Meij 1995: 18; Snouck Hurgronje 1906).

Snouck Hurgronje criticised the Dutch colonial policy through his controversial viewpoints (Wertheim 1972: 320). He argued that the religious scholars (ulamas) played an important role in mobilising the people to fight against the Dutch authorities which was supported undoubtedly by the Acehnese. There could be only one policy, namely to control the Acehnese through weapons. The Dutch authorities were not to make contact with the ulamas before they surrendered. Therefore, Snouck Hurgronje suggested that the Dutch authorities isolate the ulamas who were involved in the war. Additionally, they should also support those who trusted the colonial authorities and acknowledged Dutch sovereignty, by helping them expand their influence and power (Alfian 1987: 25–26). Snouck Hurgronje's presence in Aceh marked the culmination of Dutch colonial strategy in dealing with the Acehnese. His policy succeeded in controlling the *uleebalang* (traditional leaders) and suppressing the ulamas until the end of the Aceh war (Missbach 2010: 39-62; Siegel 1969: 9). Van 't Veer (1985: 154) called this approach two aspects of the same phenomenon, namely 'ethical policies' as well as imperialism. Snouck Hurgronje proposed a form of 'policy science' by seeking an appropriate answer to the political problems of Aceh based on his knowledge (Alfian 1987: 26).

However, despite the infinite numbers of published sources on the Aceh war and Snouck Hurgronje's contribution, there are few studies which discuss the role of his informants and helpers when he lived in Kutaraja (Aceh Besar). Snouck Hurgronje himself admitted the importance of indigenous colleagues in his official reports (van Koningsveld 1990: lvi). For example, Do Karim, an Acehnese poet, who was an oral source of *Hikayat Prang Kompeuni*, the story of the Dutch colonial war, had provided information on his relations with the ulamas and *uleebalang*, that was crucial for Snouck Hurgronje's reports (van 't Veer 1985: 153).

Snouck Hurgronje had also received much information from Raden Aboe Bakar Djajadiningrat (1854–1914), an employee of the Dutch consulate, while living in Jeddah and Mecca in 1884–1885. Aboe Bakar and other locals supplied useful information about the Jāwah community in Mecca to Snouck Hurgronje. Aboe Bakar then witnessed Snouck Hurgronje convert to Islam and accompanied him to Mecca (Witkam 2007a: xvii; 2007b: 20). In addition, Aboe Bakar's work *Tarājim 'ulamā' al-jāwah*, the biography of ulamas of the Jāwah community,² was very useful in completing Snouck Hurgronje's great work, *Mekka*, although he was not credited in the book. This was a power relationship in which Orientalist studies in general depended on the crucial assistance of local informants whose value is fully recognised only by the Orientalist scholars themselves (Laffan 1999: 538). The identities of the informants were usually not disclosed. Colonial

²This community refers to Muslims from Southeast Asia covering the Malay Peninsula, East Indies, south Thailand and Mindanao in the Philippines.

officials were often worried when there was no forthcoming information from informants, though some like Snouck Hurgronje claimed to know their subjects thoroughly and 'far better than local officials or "native friends" (Dirks 1993: 279–313; Laffan 2003a: 85).

Another informant of Snouck Hurgronje who was almost forgotten, particularly in the Acehnese-Dutch war, was Haji Hasan Mustapa (1852–1930). He was a Sundanese appointed by the Dutch Governor-General to serve as the Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja (February 1893–September 1895), based on the recommendation of Snouck Hurgronje. The *penghulu* (or *pangulu*) was the government official responsible for Islamic affairs. Some scholars (e.g. Alfian 1987, Madjid 2014, Said 1981, van 't Veer 1985), who have analysed the Aceh war failed to mention Hasan Mustapa. The period between 1893 and 1895, when Teuku Umar officially collaborated with the Dutch authorities, has been less studied by these scholars compared to other periods of the Aceh war. It is interesting that Teuku Umar began his collaboration with the Dutch authorities in September 1893, six months after Hasan Mustapa arrived in Kutaraja. Three years later in March 1896, Teuku Umar terminated his treaty with the Dutch, though Hasan Mustapa left Kutaraja to return to Bandung in September 1895, several months before the treaty was abrogated.

Hasan Mustapa reported to Snouck Hurgronje in 45 letters written in Arabic³ during the almost three years that the former lived in Aceh. Arabic was chosen to maintain confidentiality as there were few people who were fluent in it (Hisyam 2001: 96). Hasan Mustapa's letters were written during the third phase of the Acehnese-Dutch war (1884–1896) when the *uleebalang* and Teuku Umar were allies of the Dutch colonialists (1893–1896). Ajip Rosidi (1989: 30) stated that the discovery of Hasan Mustapa's letters to Snouck Hurgronje was expected to reveal the former's role in influencing the Dutch colonial policy in Aceh. Hasan Mustapa wrote to Snouck Hurgronje almost weekly, and the letters gave crucial information on the Aceh war, including the role of the ulamas and *uleebalang* (van Koningsveld 1989: 28; 1990: xlix).

The significance of Hasan Mustapa's letters was also recognised by van Koningsveld as a useful source of comparison with Snouck Hurgronje's reports advising the Dutch authorities, that were published by Gobée and Adriaanse (van Koningsveld 1990: xiii, liv). Van Koningsveld noted the importance of Hasan Mustapa's contribution as he was an indigenous official who supplied information to the Dutch advisor. It was information that was 'quite neutral' rather than relying on both the Dutch authorities and the Acehnese sources involved in the war. Therefore, it would be difficult for anyone with an interest in studying Aceh and the influence of Hasan Mustapa on Snouck Hurgronje to only refer to the works of Gobée and Adriaanse. In addition, while Snouck Hurgronje resided in Aceh between July 1891 and February 1892 and was able to follow closely the many events in Aceh, he was not there for a significant period and relied on Hasan Mustapa's letters. Therefore, the correspondence between Snouck Hurgronje and Hasan Mustapa would be suitable for further investigation and publication (van Koningsveld 1990: lvi–lvii).

Hasan Mustapa's knowledge cannot be separated from the colonial socio-religious policy within the framework of patron-client relationships. The relationship issue was

³All the letters are stored at Leiden University Library (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.9 and S.16).

a deep contact between the colonial culture and its colony (Said 1975: 277–278; 1994: 200). This study is significant in highlighting what Laffan (2003b) called the layer of local information in the ocean of Dutch East Indies documentation. This layer gives insight to the process of construction of the nation which later became known as Indonesia.

Hasan Mustapa, Snouck Hurgronje and Teuku Umar

Hasan Mustapa's appointment as Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja (1893–1895) during the third phase of the Aceh war (1884–1896), was made after the enforcement of civil administration in Aceh (1881–1884). This was a period when war was resumed by the Dutch authorities after the 1881 ceasefire. The Dutch began to take defensive measures in shaping the civilian government by confining themselves to Aceh Besar in 1884, and placing military posts in Kutaraja. The Dutch Parliament were then able to reduce operating costs and rely only on the navy, while offensive action was still carried out along the coastal territories (Snouck Hurgronje 1906: xi).

Between 1892 and 1896, the post of Dutch civil and military governor of Aceh was held by C. Deijkerhoff, while that of Dutch Governor-General in Batavia was held by C.H.A. van der Wijck who had been appointed in 1893 (*Regeerings Almanak* 1893: 214). It was a period when the Dutch East Indies government changed its relationship with the Acehnese, by labelling them as 'insurgents'. The leaders of the insurgency during this third period of the war were the religious scholars (ulamas). The *uleebalang* as traditional leaders had largely been subdued by Dutch authorities. Therefore, this war was increasingly considered a jihad (holy war) or *prang sabi* under the leadership of the ulamas and the mujahideen, as the defenders of faith (Abdullah 1987: 9–10).

The appointment of a *penghulu* before 1882 was completely dependent on the policy of the ruler. Anyone who was considered to have expertise in Islamic law could be chosen as a *penghulu*. The other considerations were experience in administration, and loyalty to the colonial authorities. After 1882, the office of *penghulu* was incorporated into the colonial administration. Thus the recruitment of *penghulu* and the members of *raad agama* (religious councils) began to be under the control of the Dutch administration. The office of the Advisor on Native Affairs, of which Snouck Hurgronje was the first incumbent, played an important role in the recruitment of a *penghulu* (Hisyam 2001: 42–44).

Hasan Mustapa's appointment as the Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja was recommended by Snouck Hurgronje. The certificate of decision or *besluit* of his appointment as *penghulu* was dated 13 January 1893, No. 23 (Jahroni 1999: 24). Snouck Hurgronje had confidence in Hasan Mustapa because he considered Hasan Mustapa competent in Islamic law and Sundanese customs (Millie 2017: 1–23; Solomon 1986). The appointment of a Sundanese to serve as an official outside of Java was unusual. Some scholars, like J.J. van de Velde (1931), have questioned if the position of the Chief Penghulu in Aceh existed. There was no other *penghulu* appointed by the Dutch in such a way before or after him. It was not until 1919 that a more regular colonial office for handling religious affairs was established by the Dutch in Aceh, and even then, it was rather different from the office of the *penghulu* as it had developed in colonial Java and Madura (Hisyam 2001: 95). The appointment of Hasan Mustapa was a colonial effort to improve the peace in a region known to be a trouble spot. It is reasonable to say that before peace could be realised, the most essential prerequisite was to gain an understanding of the socio-cultural aspects of the Acehnese.

The native official position opened a new world for Hasan Mustapa. His new status as the Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja involved him in Dutch colonial administration. He was also responsible for Islamic religious affairs in Kutaraja. His office was influential in supplying information on the prevailing situation in Aceh for Snouck Hurgronje who was living in Batavia. Snouck Hurgronje was in Aceh a year before Hasan Mustapa's arrival and for less than eight months. This was insufficient time to keep an eye on the political situation in Aceh without an informant. Hasan Mustapa, as one of Snouck Hurgronje's informants, had an important role in bridging the interests of Snouck Hurgronje and the Dutch authorities in the Aceh region (Ali 2017: 141–159).

After arriving in Kutaraja, Hasan Mustapa was on good terms with many people, including Dutch officials, ulamas, *uleebalang*, immigrants, and ordinary Acehnese. He was also friendly with the Dutch civil and military Governor of Aceh, Deijkerhoff, who served from 1892 to 1896; the Resident of Aceh van Langen; Assistant Resident of Aceh Besar C.A. Kroesen; Aceh Prosecutor Muhammad Arif; and some controllers as well as other figures. Hasan Mustapa also met *teungku*,⁴ *uleebalang* and several people who were prominent figures in Aceh such as Teuku Umar (1854–1899), Teungku Brahim, Chik Umar, Teuku Nek, Habib Abas (son-in-law of Habib 'Abdurrahman Al-Zahir), Do Karim, Teungku Yakub, Nya'mad Olele, and Amin Jadawi. He obtained a variety of information which was then reported back to Snouck Hurgronje. An important focus in Hasan Mustapa's letters to Snouck Hurgronje was on the most famous figure in Aceh, Teuku Umar, when he collaborated with the Dutch.

Teuku Umar, an intelligent Acehnese warrior caused controversy in Aceh because of his collaboration with Dutch authorities. He officially declared his loyalty to the Dutch in September 1893. As a result, Teuku Umar received various privileges such as the title of Teuku Johan Pahlawan, the right to have his own soldiers, weapons, office fees, and houses (Alfian 1987: 82–83). He had previously fought alongside the Acehnese forces of Sultan Muhammad Daud Syah (1874–1904), Tuanku Hasyim, Teungku Kutakarang (d.1895), Panglima Polém, Cut Nyak Dien (1850–1908), and Teungku Muhammad Amin Di Tiro (d.1896), who was the son of Teungku Sheikh Muhammad Saman Di Tiro (1836–1891). On a previous occasion, Teuku Umar had defied the Dutch in the case of holding the ship *Hok Canton* for ransom on 14 June 1886.⁵ The Dutch civil and military Governor of Aceh, H.K.F. van Teijn, began to make advances to Teuku Umar in January 1888 (Kamajaya 1981: 30).

Teuku Umar was in a difficult position when he switched to the Dutch colonial side. On the one hand, he gained the trust of the next governor of Aceh, Deijkerhoff. On the other hand, he was opposed by the leaders of the Acehnese troops such as Teungku Muhammad Amin Di Tiro (henceforth Teungku Amin), and even his wife, Cut Nyak Dien, considered him a traitor. Teungku Kutakarang, a highly respected religious

⁴*Teungku* is an Acehnese title for an ulama whereas *Teuku* denotes a traditional leader (*uleebalang*).

⁵This incident occurred when the European merchant ship, *Hok Canton*, was detained by Teuku Umar who also had personal issues with Mevrouw Hansen, the captain of the ship. Teuku Umar's rival Teuku Imeum of Teunom had also previously received ransom for the ship *Nisero* in 1883. Teuku Umar as the most respected warlord in Aceh resisted Dutch authority amid the competition between the Netherlands in Sumatra and Britain in Melaka to control the pepper trade (Reid 2005: 282–283).

ر سالة واحد الما مرغ و تعدّ الم خال بمضحدان بوسامن هذاالشهر مرجما عدمن رعمة تكوع مشدلون المعدور من ومتكرم الغداكد فلماده مزرعسته كوامين نهموالدورين دمذكر وتشاتلو ومشرد للقط عيزال حارقص بستاغ ودخلوخها وحرقوه ستاغ الوكموعر فالوجهو لحنود من تسبع الرحاصا فحا ربوحنود تكوامين ما تشتل لمؤاد دخاعساك وحاصر جنود تكوعر عليهم في يوايين والمساعدون الهمما يتدرون بدطهوت فداور بوم الاحد 11 بولي الخرود بكوعردش سته وابوزودته تكولنتا حولوه الريخيد وذبح تكوعر بدره شهرانغا فحات حماعة تكودمان فر والمرم افرقكم اوس قووواد تعكو تنااسه ومن داخردا ترة الدولة فعماء سعدا والروار تساعده بالسنا دووالدارود والدصاعر فلما حوطو القلم عوان تزامة الدعباها ومرداخه تؤ القلاء ومسلوالذى في يطنها مند روميها وفر صحية الاشهر بسكة الدروخ فلهريته تصوت السنادة م وتولعة الدخان حريقة للوائر والانغ بفالمغض تكوع لاغراة السوة وعادة حرابة احبه وتخرسها ولرتقطر شي موزها واحدة لا دسما جرة السلور والغندين تكوامن موت اخ انخرقة العادة فيهذ الخرابة قال معنى اناها الاصرا ان معدماة مرجاعة من النسار عدة تارع نظوة والعرسما سمرباملى فلماوصلى كون اسن . وهواسم للنُسم الذير الذي تعال بالملاب لقد كان نسم فلعة الكفنى فكاندا فهد تماعد تكوامين وتعاتلو حترانعت مندر قدام وحزالقا طعين م ط عذالانالبيلا كاخرة تكوعر النفت اليرنم وقوالآن هنا لاسرنا سأسرعل بأكرة نظار الكدية فالمتغ مون مزقدعة عاموول شر فعل ماسمعنا المادس ازرعم مسعدرا بالكرونام وغرهم بكنرو ناموات كرعر ولابدعموا كمالقت ومخالا خارالداخلة ومانسمو منهم من يتر 1 بنهم ومن مقول فراغ مسبه للذلك تسا واكبرما مكرن للدر موم المثال ف ١٨ مولى غ بلاغ وماحوالدند. تكويروكان فساعاة رمساعدة الكفائمار . . ٢ دند ووالوفالرصاح والمارود بالكفائة واست رعمة تكوامى متوفرين قلنابالصحار لواحدين جماعةم عدرايا ابوم بعدهنا لاتحرقوالديمه يعيم حش متحولوالرمساموة بأرود خلصت وسأترمو به ولادندي سحاع قال لكه فكرم في والمتق قلنا نعر وتورولنا الع الدم المصوالفاكترمتي تخعرونا بالدعدام وتستنغف شاكم متقولو بحرجرة الاعداء ضحك فالده المكو تاالذيرجومن بتوى الجوج مساقدولا يوتد والموم جاه ناتك جارا عكوع منسا وصورته يسعر بمالهماغا رعل بالكم الشتر وسم ريال اجم سميناه تكو أوتسد لال المعم موم دفئه لهذه لحرابة فراغ مسبع قال اموه مد الوان المعمى اختلط فرج جورغسه سنهما تهر مشهنته النصالص وملزوم تغسلهم فلناا والطرؤالذى متال اورت مسلما فالرجنود لكواما لعدم فلنالا ستحالدولة نسباعدتك وعرالغاني فالقوائذ بأعلموالكمنين ولوهو طلسالمه اء ة حطا تكونت وانعمنا الوتمان قالاانا نداء برحن السادة مسااليوم راج عندهم تكرند وانته ٦ مقم وسيرة أعكوة اذعكن نرسوط الجابو رالآن لفيؤو منه الآن لطلر المسأعدة واصر الم عادة م مطلوبه تحفزو لمتانمان جروك مال والسابور بابزرعه فرج به يهوة وطلبه ماكتاريته علا محتر اسمناونرج سامان تكابت لهمعلى وجدمساعد تذالا تحسو سمرة اسمناحؤلا يهط عليك مناوسرة تطرالا متحال والسلام

Figure 1. *Risālah wal'ah al-nārīn fī waq'ah al-dūrīn*, the treatise on the flames of the durian incident. Source: Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.020-021. Arabic letters from Haji Hasan Mustapa from Kutaraja (correspondence with Snouck Hurgronje), 1893–1895, 19 July 1893. Courtesy of University of Leiden Library.

scholar in Aceh, had previously declared that the area of Aceh occupied by the Dutch was a war zone (*dār al-ḥarb*). Therefore, those who assisted the Dutch were viewed as infidels. Tuanku Hasyim, the Sultan's advisor, also stressed that those Acehnese who helped the Dutch could be justifiably killed (Hadi 2011: 194).

68

There was also pressure on Teuku Umar from the Dutch as he did not have the full confidence of all the Dutch officials. He was continuously scrutinised by some Dutch officials and distrusted, especially by the Dutch East Indies military commander, General J.A. Vetter. Only Deijkerhoff fully believed in Teuku Umar but on 30 March 1896, Teuku Umar finally turned on the Dutch when he attacked them, and kept this animosity to the end of his life (Bakker 1993: 57; Said 1981: 199).

Many scholars have studied the role of Teuku Umar. The Acehnese and the Indonesian governments have both praised him as a national hero from Aceh. His collaboration with the Dutch authorities was not through despair or surrender, but a strategy to gain the trust of the Dutch authorities till he was made the Great Commander in Aceh by the Dutch (Damhoeri 1939: 33; Sofyan 1977: 157). However, Dutch officials and scholars such as Snouck Hurgronje (1906, I: xii) were wary of him and considered him untrustworthy and an opportunist. Another scholar (Kitzen 2012: 93), argued that the Dutch authorities succeeded in co-opting Teuku Umar but failed to control him. It is an experience of colonialism that shows the dynamics, advantages and dangers of collaborating with local rulers who play 'between treaty and treason' (Kitzen 2012).

This study describes another side of Teuku Umar as seen from the Sundanese perspective. Hasan Mustapa when he was the Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja did not fully trust Teuku Umar either. Hasan Mustapa met and spoke with Teuku Umar on several occasions, and understood Teuku Umar's position quite well. He often received information on Teuku Umar from Acehnese informants such as Teungku Brahim, Chik Umar, and Habib Abas, to clarify his own assumptions. He reported in his letters to Snouck Hurgronje all activities relating to Teuku Umar, his own efforts to gather information from Acehnese informants, and his opinion of Teuku Umar. My contention is that Snouck Hurgronje's advice to the Dutch government to distrust Teuku Umar was influenced by Hasan Mustapa.

The 'durian incident' and the loyalty of Teuku Umar to the Dutch authorities

In his letter dated 19 July 1893 (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.020-021) to Snouck Hurgronje, Hasan Mustapa described the 'durian incident' of July 1893 entitled *Risālah wal'ah alnārīn fī waq'ah al-dūrīn*, the treatise on the flames of the durian incident. It was related by Hasan Mustapa with information from an Acehnese informant. This was the first case that Hasan Mustapa reported on, to demonstrate the serious dispute between Teuku Umar and Teungku Amin and other Acehnese fighters. Teuku Umar who had developed closer relations with the Dutch authorities since 1888 when van Teijn was governor of Aceh, finally received Dutch military aid against the troops of Teungku Amin. The durian incident shows further collusion between Teuku Umar and the Dutch authorities before the official collaboration in September 1893 when Teuku Umar received various privileges.

The durian incident occurred when Teungku Amin's troops robbed followers of Teuku Umar who passed through Chik Asan's village and brought durians and other fruits. The two sides then attacked each other. Teuku Umar's followers fled to the village of Chik Bintang and the village was burned down by Teungku Amin's troops. Chik Bintang complained to Teuku Umar and he eventually agreed to attack Teungku Amin's troops. The troops entered the fort and were besieged by Teuku Umar's followers for two days but were unable to penetrate it.

Shortly after the durian incident, villages were burnt on 16 July 1893 including the homes of Teuku Umar and his father-in-law, Teuku Nanta, who was the father of Cut Nyak Dien. The perpetrators were Teungku Amin's troops. Teuku Umar then retaliated by killing nine members of the troops. Eighty people from Teungku Amin's troops died in the civil war, including Teuku Obeb and the son of Teungku Tanoh Abee. The commander of the Great Mosque of Aceh who was on the side of the Dutch, assisted Teuku Umar. The Dutch authorities also supported Teuku Umar by laying siege to Teungku Amin's troops. The two warring parties were to cease attacking each other on 17 July 1893. However, the hostilities continued with sniping from both sides. According to Hasan Mustapa, the burning of villages was evidence of Teuku Umar's rage towards Teungku Amin for the death of his brother, his troops, and the burning down of his house and that of his father-in-law's.

The letters of Hasan Mustapa also described the civil war as destroying a custom (*inkharaqat al-'ādah*) that prohibits attacking fellow Acehnese. He felt the main reason for the durian incident leading to war between Teuku Umar and Teungku Amin was related to a previous robbery by Teungku Amin. This was when a group of Teuku Umar's female followers accompanying the bride, Nyak Ma'i, were robbed by Teungku Amin's troops when the group arrived at Kuta Asan, near the Dutch fort in Peukan Bada. Fighting followed and Teungku Amin's troops killed four women. Teuku Umar's followers were initially silent about the incident because he was busy preparing to defend the Dutch, who were considered 'infidels' (*bela kaphé*). Teuku Umar only raised the issue of this earlier robbery case by Teungku Amin's troops after the durian incident.

There were many events that provided cause for Teuku Umar to attack Teungku Amin's troops, such as the durian incident in early July 1893, the burning of the houses of Teuku Umar and his father-in-law, Teuku Nanta, in mid July1893, and the murder of his female followers and a bride a few days previously. These cases became evidence of Teuku Umar's closeness to the Dutch authorities. He received military aid from the Dutch in the face of the civil war with Teungku Amin on 18 July 1893. The Dutch government assisted Teuku Umar as part of its divisive strategy. Whilst Teuku Umar was considered suitable enough to collaborate with Dutch military troops, he had to prove his loyalty, and one such example was to attack Teungku Amin who was regarded as a representative of the Acehnese troops.

The collaboration between the Dutch and Teuku Umar was also related to Snouck Hurgronje by Hasan Mustapa in the letter of 19 July 1893. Hasan Mustapa had received information from Teungku Brahim, an Acehnese informant, who came to his home on the day one of Teungku Amin's fighters was buried. He had asked Teungku Brahim about the views of the Acehnese on the *prang sabi*. He replied that the Acehnese dissented on the obligation to bathe their martyrs on the previous day. They usually consult Islamic law books for answers. Hasan Mustapa then asked Teungku Brahim about the Acehnese view on the civil war between Teuku Umar and Teungku Amin. Which party was considered the Muslim side? He replied that the Acehnese considered Teungku Amin as the Muslim defender, since he did not receive Dutch military aid, unlike Teuku Umar. Hasan Mustapa asked again, 'Why would the government want to help Teuku Umar who

betrayed the Acehnese?' Teungku Brahim replied, 'It is because Teuku Umar is loyal to the Dutch authorities although he asked for some guarantees' (see Appendix).

Based on information from Teungku Brahim, Teuku Umar was originally hostile towards Teungku Amin and his troops for disturbing the peace as evidenced in robbing the bridal party and the durian incident. However, these disputes escalated when Teuku Umar exploited them to demonstrate his loyalty to the Dutch. He then received Dutch military aid in the second attack on Teungku Amin's troops. The rumour of the treachery of Teuku Umar was circulating among the Acehnese who considered him a traitor for collaborating with the Dutch.

Rumours of the disputes were also reported by Hasan Mustapa in his next letter to Snouck Hurgronje dated 15 August 1893 (Cod Or. 18.097 S.16.2.007-009) where he described the complaints made to the Dutch government on the durian incident. The first complaint came from Teuku Umar's wife, Cut Nyak Dien, and her father Teuku Nanta (who became a follower of Teuku Umar), that Teungku Amin had fought them, burned their villages, and killed their people wrongfully. The second was from Teungku Amin who considered that Teuku Umar had deviated and renounced Islam, and followed the infidels, and if they were not opposed, they would cause misery to most Muslims. It was said that Teungku Amin was angry and sent support to all the villages to help Muslims who were fighting Teuku Umar and the Dutch authorities. Teungku Amin sent this letter of complaint to Teuku Umar with the ninefold seal (sikureuëng) that was commonly used during peace times. This was a round seal with nine circles, inscribed with the Sultan's name, and was usually used for important royal letters (Alfian 1987: 40; Majid 2013: 152). Teungku Amin then sent the letter to the Dutch authorities and the Sultan. He also attached a newspaper article about the fatwa of the ulamas in his argument that Teuku Umar was an infidel, thus supporting his argument to oppose it. There is also evidence that this war was related to other wars taking place throughout the Islamic world (Cod Or. 18.097 S.16.2.007-009, 15 August 1893).

Teuku Umar was therefore increasingly trusted by the Dutch government and succeeded in using this dispute with Teungku Amin as part of his strategy to demonstrate his loyalty. He convinced the Dutch authorities that he was serious in fighting the Acehnese troops represented by Teungku Amin. The Dutch needed to support Teuku Umar to understand the regional operations of the Acehnese troops and control the Acehnese (Kitzen 2012: 94). Meanwhile, Teungku Amin was considered to have less influence with the Acehnese after the death of his father, Teungku Muhammad Saman Di Tiro. He even placed his father in a posthumous position of honour in his guerrilla war. However, he deviated from his mission to fight against the Dutch and often dismayed the Acehnese who viewed his actions as personal vendettas (Beamer 1975: 55; Kamajaya 1981: 20, 31; Raihan 2014: 57). The durian incident and the robbery of the bridal group were seen as Teungku Amin abusing his power.

Teuku Umar cannot be trusted

However, Teuku Umar's dispute with Teungku Amin failed to convince the Dutch authorities of his loyalty. Both Hasan Mustapa and Snouck Hurgronje believed that the dispute was a personal issue between the discordant parties that occurred some time ago. Since his arrival in Aceh in February 1893, Hasan Mustapa had met Teuku Umar. The oath of allegiance ceremony that Teuku Umar and his 15 Acehnese commanders participated in the formal collaboration with the Dutch took place on September 1893. Teuku Umar was trusted by the Dutch civil and military governor of Aceh, Deijkerhoff, and he had earlier demonstrated his closeness to the Dutch during the term of the previous governor van Teijn. But, Hasan Mustapa as the Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja had his doubts and was closely observing Teuku Umar to report back to Snouck Hurgronje in Batavia.

Hasan Mustapa's suspicions of Teuku Umar was more obvious in his letter dated 15 August 1893 (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.007-009). He wrote that some people praised the response of Assistant Resident C.A. Kroesen who supported Teuku Umar with rifles, bullets and weapons, but others saw it as a useless move. Hasan Mustapa considered that Teuku Umar's attitude was ambivalent because 'thousands were the sounds of his bullets but they missed Muslims as the target' (bidalīl aswāt banādiqih ulūfan walā vuhīb ahad min al-muslimīn). Snouck Hurgronje commented that the Dutch war against Acehnese Muslims was similar to the civil war between the Bedouin Arabs and the Sharif fortress in Hejaz, referring to the Saudi civil war of 1869. The Dutch authorities continued using Teuku Umar as an intermediary despite deeming him untrustworthy. Hasan Mustapa then insisted that Teuku Umar was dishonest and treacherous to the Dutch, as reported by many in Aceh. This can be seen from the reluctance of Teuku Umar to give a village that he controlled to the Dutch. Hasan Mustapa considered it possible that Teuku Umar had first asked permission from Sultan Muhammad Daud Syah about it, but the Sultan personally remained silent on this case which meant he didn't agree.

Hasan Mustapa also asked Teungku Di Karim (Do Karim), a member of Teuku Umar's troops, for information on Teuku Umar. Hasan Mustapa explained in his letter of 16 December 1893 (Cod Or. 18.097 S.16.2.011-013) to Snouck Hurgronje that he had a visit from Teungku Di Karim who had just returned from fighting against Acehnese troops. Hasan Mustapa enquired about the courage of Teuku Umar in the war. Hasan Mustapa was probably anxious to find out more about Teuku Umar as he may have been dubious about the latter's allegiance to the Dutch. Teungku Di Karim replied that it was Teuku Umar's custom to stand and raise his sword in what was called 'the angry lion' (*singa marah*) stance and he did this before attacking Acehnese troops and taking 50 people as prisoners. He also often encouraged his troops by saying: 'Go up to the war, please. Do not die, because if we died who will fight in the future?'. Teungku Di Karim also disclosed information about the rest of Teuku Umar's troops. He had only only three commanders left and he paid them as much as 300 riyals to fight for him.

Hasan Mustapa's distrust of Teuku Umar was also buttressed by Acehnese complaints of Teuku Umar including those in direct contact with him. Hasan Mustapa, for instance, personally dug up secret details about Teuku Umar from one of his troopers, Chik Bintang, on 13 June 1894. Chik Bintang said that the Acehnese were fearful of being attacked as long as Teuku Umar held this position, presumably as a collaborator of the Dutch. Chik Bintang stated that one of the consequences of Teuku Umar collaborating with the Dutch was that the no one could not count on the loyalty of some areas, particularly those villages upstream (*ulu*). These *ulu* villages would be inconsistently supportive for or against the Dutch and their loyalty was therefore unreliable.

The information from Hasan Mustapa about Teuku Umar had also been passed to Deijkerhoff. Hasan Mustapa in his letter of 8 July 1894 described how Deijkerhoff would ask him for information on Aceh on Friday and Sunday nights. Deijkerhoff asked about the Aceh security situation, and on Teuku Cut Tungkōb, Teuku Umar, Teuku Ba'it, Panglima Polém and the costs of jihad for the Acehnese. All questions were truthfully answered by Hasan Mustapa.

One of Deijkerhoff's important questions was about Teuku Umar who was beginning to worry him. Hasan Mustapa's response was drawn from his talks with the Acehnese who praised Teuku Umar's courage and openness. However, when Deijkerhoff asked Hasan Mustapa for his personal opinion on Teuku Umar, his response was that as long as Teuku Umar's words were true, he had not done anything wrong. Deijkerhoff then asked about Teuku Nek, an Acehnese on the Dutch side. Hasan Mustapa answered that as long as Teuku Umar was trusted (by the Dutch), Teuku Nek would be ill or would pretend to be ill (*wa mā dāma Teuku 'Umar muṣaddaq, faqad tamarrad Teuku Nek min zamān*). This view was similar to one that Hasan Mustapa gave in his previous letter to Snouck Hurgronje dated 29 June 1894 (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.3.054-56). It showed that Teuku Nek detested Teuku Umar and was envious of him.

Teuku Nek appeared to be envious of Teuku Umar as the latter was trusted more by the Dutch, and he personally felt that he had helped the Dutch more than Teuku Umar. It is said that Teuku Nek assisted the Dutch authorities before the second expedition of the Dutch (1874–1880), after being disappointed by the Sultan for dismissing him as the head of VI Mukim (El Munir and Muin 1983: 26). Although both Teuku Umar and Teuku Nek were outwardly friends, they competed for the trust of the Dutch colonialists. This can be seen in Hasan Mustapa's letters of 9 October 1894 (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.9.1.027-029). He said that one day Teuku Nek complained that the Dutch appreciated Teuku Umar more than him, and that he was tired of helping the government, since he was regarded as less worthy of consideration. Teuku Nek also felt Aceh would not see peace even in another hundred years because government regulations were constantly changing; one day they bring in someone and another day they bring in someone else.

Additionally, in his letters of 23 July 1894 (Cod Or. 18.097 S.16.2.046-048), Hasan Mustapa mentioned rumours circulating among the Acehnese about Muslims who were killed by Teuku Umar, in his support of the Dutch. One rumour was the killing of two Muslims, namely Teuku Raja Muda Guroh and one of his followers. They were allegedly killed because they fled from their villages to join Acehnese troops. It was also reported that ten people fled from Teuku Umar's village taking a large number of rifles and bullets. However, Hasan Mustapa discounted these rumours. If they were true, he felt, it was evidence that controlling the Acehnese was difficult as proved by the number of weapons stolen by those who fled. But, if the rumours were false, then that could lead to other lies. However, Acehnese troops did in fact fire on Dutch troops. Teuku Umar was proven to have lied on this matter in that the rumour was just an excuse to deliberately deceive the Acehnese troops who fled and took the weapons. Hasan Mustapa was inclined to distrust Teuku Umar although he was unable to get to the bottom of the truth.

Hasan Mustapa felt that Teuku Umar now held different views compared to when they first met. In his letter to Snouck Hurgronje of 9 October 1894, Hasan Mustapa stated that

he had reported the information to Deijkerhoff whom he called the overlord (*al-shaibah*). He had heard that Teuku Umar had advised Teuku Imeum to join the Dutch. For Hasan Mustapa, an indication of Teuku Umar's deceit was his failure to wage war against Acehnese troops. He also felt there was no advantage for the Dutch in having Teuku Umar or Teuku Imeum as allies.

In another letter dated 25 December 1894 (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.3.034), Hasan Mustapa included his assessment of Teuku Umar that he had given to Deijkerhoff. The latter asked Hasan Mustapa about Teuku Umar's intention: 'Had his heart turned or not?' (i.e. whether he now hated the Dutch). Hasan Mustapa replied, 'It seems to me that he did bad things (*jahat*) for the Dutch government.' However, this was denied by Deijkerhoff. In addition, according to Hasan Mustapa, Teuku Umar might well have attacked fellow Acehnese to gain honour from the Dutch. This conversation revealed Hasan Mustapa and Deijkerhoff's differing views of Teuku Umar.

In his next letter to Snouck Hurgronje dated 5-6 January 1895 (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.4.003-007), Hasan Mustapa described Teuku Umar as a person who speaks harshly of others (kalām al-fāsid). Hasan Mustapa's disapproving comments on Teuku Umar were noted in many other letters to Snouck Hurgronje between 1893 and 1895. He had viewed Teuku Umar as inadequate several times. Firstly, Hasan Mustapa doubted Teuku Umar's reason for visiting the Muslims in Mukim VI, which allegedly was to make peace with them (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.007-009, 15 August 1893). Secondly, Teuku Umar was considered to have evaded responsibility in throwing the rock and then hiding the hand (yarmī al-hajar bi dass al-yad), by not participating when the Dutch troops patrolled late in the night to foil robberies and ambushes during those hours (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.015-018, 24 October 1893). Thirdly, Hasan Mustapa suggested that Snouck Hurgronje should investigate thoroughly Teuku Umar's hostility towards his opponents in Aceh (fa 'alaikum tadqīq dabbārah Teuku 'Umar min mabādih wa natā'ijih) (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.052-53, 4 July 1894). Fourthly, Habib Abas (son-in-law of Habib 'Abdurrahman al-Zahir), who visited Hasan Mustapa, suggested that the Dutch should not be involved with Teuku Umar or be drawn into any of his activities. Another person who visited Hasan Mustapa the following day, a man from Lam Tabah, said that Teuku Umar's heart changes like a weather vane (yangalib galbuh). According to this man, Teuku Umar craved a medal of honour from the Dutch government (Cod Or. 18.097 S.9.2.009-011, 3 September 1894). Hasan Mustapa also mentioned that one example of Teuku Umar's yearning for honour, according to some, was that he personally spread the word that he had been ordered by the Dutch authorities to reconcile with Mukim XII and Pidie village that he had been warring with (Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.3.026-029, 18 December 1894).

Hasan Mustapa's wariness of Teuku Umar subsequently influenced Snouck Hurgronje. As a scholar who was charged by the Dutch authorities to investigate Aceh, Snouck Hurgronje felt he could not trust any Acehnese. He had criticised Deijkerhoff's policy in collaborating with Teuku Umar whom he believed to be untrustworthy. He said, 'When Umar shakes your hand, accept his hand firmly. Use Umar where he can be of use, but do not trust him' (Said 1981: 171–172). This response was in Snouck Hurgronje's notes (1906, I: xii) to the Dutch Governor-General van der Wijck on 18 January 1894. He believed that the Dutch alliance with Teuku Umar was risky (van 't Veer 1985: 156). That Teuku Umar was considered untrustworthy was undoubtedly a view similarly held by Hasan Mustapa as indicated in his letters to Snouck Hurgronje. Therefore, Hasan Mustapa's contribution to Snouck Hurgronje's perspective on the Acehnese is evident.

Hasan Mustapa had long been trusted by Snouck Hurgronje as the latter claimed to have closely known him for a dozen years from his time living in Mecca to the Dutch East Indies. Snouck Hurgronje had a rare and high respect for Hasan Mustapa's reliability and talent (van Koningsveld 1990: li). His honesty was undoubted by Snouck Hurgronje who corresponded with Hasan Mustapa over a long period of time until his return to the Netherlands (Rohmana 2018).

Based on the information provided by Hasan Mustapa, Snouck Hurgronje had a reliable source for his advice to the Dutch Governor-General in Batavia. Hasan Mustapa also corresponded directly (in Malay) with Governor-General van der Wijck on some issues. He not only supplied information and counsel to the Dutch officials in Aceh, but also maintained constant contact with Snouck Hurgronje and other officials in Batavia (Rohmana 2016). However, Hasan Mustapa's letters written in Arabic could only be accessed by Snouck Hurgronje, and the latter therefore had a critical role in passing on the information about Aceh to the Dutch governor-general. Both Hasan Mustapa and Snouck Hurgronje were key figures in providing information on Aceh that was reported to the Dutch authorities.

In the context of Teuku Umar and the Acehnese-Dutch war, Hasan Mustapa was deeply involved in the politics of Aceh. His contacts with the ulamas and *uleebalang*, including Teuku Umar, who at that time was on the side of Dutch government, enabled him to collect information about Acehnese troops and assess local opinions.

Concluding remarks

I have focused on the complexity of relations between Dutch colonial officials and their informants during the Acehnese-Dutch war, particularly between 1893 and 1895. Hasan Mustapa, as Chief Penghulu of Kutaraja, provided much information from local Acehnese informants. He relayed the information to local Dutch officials in Aceh as well as his close friend Snouck Hurgronje. His letters to Snouck Hurgronje illustrate the importance of the role of informants in the colonial bureaucracy, especially in the Acehnese-Dutch war. He provided information about Teuku Umar when the latter joined the Dutch side. The socio-political background of events such as the durian incident and the dispute between Teuku Umar with a fellow Acehnese fighter, Teungku Amin, was gathered from a number of local informants and transmitted by Hasan Mustapa to Snouck Hurgronje. Hasan Mustapa's aim was to ensure that Snouck Hurgronje as the colonial advisor of the Dutch government, would not be quick to trust Acehnese fighters. Informants such as Hasan Mustapa and others in the Dutch East Indies reveal a strong power relationship as spies for colonial officials in being able to provide crucial information for the Dutch to understand colonial society and subdue the rebels. However, in the case of Hasan Mustapa and Snouck Hurgronje, the role of the informant for the colonial official was more than a patronclient relationship, as there was also an unusual affinity based on religious brotherhood and a long friendship.

76 👄 J. A. ROHMANA

Acknowledgements

Research for this article was supported by the Research Grant Programme of the Directorate of Islamic Higher Education (Diktis), the Ministry of Religious Affairs, Republic of Indonesia in 2018. My great thanks to Wim van den Doel who sent me archival sources of the digital special collections at UB Leiden University. My special thanks also to the anonymous reviewers for *Indonesia and the Malay World* who provided comments and suggestions for improvements.

Note on contributor

Jajang A. Rohmana is lecturer at Sunan Gunung Djati State Islamic University (UIN) Bandung. His research interests cover history and Islamic studies. Email: jajangarohmana@uinsgd.ac.id

ORCID

Jajang A. Rohmana D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3377-7169

References

Archival sources

Arabic letters from Haji Hasan Mustapa in Kutaraja (correspondence with Snouck Hurgronje), 1893–1895, UB Leiden University.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.020-021, 19 July 1893.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.007-009, 15 August 1893.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.015-018, 24 October 1893

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.011-013, 16 December 1893.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.3.044-045, 13 June 1894.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.052-53, 4 July 1894.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.041-43, 8 July 1894.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.3.054-56, 29 June 1894

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.2.046-048, 23 July 1894.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.9.2.009-011, 3 September 1894

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.9.1.027-029, 9 October 1894.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.3.026-029, 18 December 1894

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.3.034, 25 December 1894.

Cod. Or. 18.097 S.16.4.003-007, 5-6, January 1895.

Publications

Abdullah, T. 1987. Pengantar [Introduction]. In Ibrahim Alfian, *Perang di jalan Allah* [War in the way of God]. Jakarta: Sinar Harapan.

Alfian, I. 1987. Perang di jalan Allah. Jakarta: Sinar Harapan.

- Ali, M. 2017. 'It is incumbent upon Indonesian Muslims to be loyal to the Dutch East Indies government': a study of a fatwa by Hasan Mustapa. In Julian Millie (ed.), *Hasan Mustapa: ethnicity and Islam in Indonesia*. Clayton: Monash Publishing University, pp. 141–159.
- Azra, A. 2010. Krisis legitimasi Aceh. In Abdullah Sani Usman, *Krisis legitimasi politik dalam sejarah pemerintahan di Aceh* [Political legitimacy crisis in the history of the Aceh government]. Jakarta: Balitbang Diklat Puslitbang Lektur Keagamaan.
- Bakker, H.J.I. 1993. The Acehnese war and the creation of the Netherlands East Indies state. In A. Hamish Ion and Elizabeth Jane Errington (eds), *Great powers and little wars: the limits of power*. Westport CT: Praeger.

- Beamer, C.R. 1975. The Achehnese-Dutch war and its effect on the Achehnese people: their land, customs and institutions. Paper presented at the Indonesian Conference on Modern History, 18–19 July 1975, Center for Southeast Asian Studies, University of Wisconsin.
- Benda, H.J. 1958. Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje and the foundations of Dutch Islamic policy in Indonesia. *Journal of Modern History* 30 (4): 338–347.
- Burhanudin, J. 2007. *Islamic knowledge, authority and political power: the 'ulama in colonial Indonesia*. Leiden: Leiden University.
- Carvalho, C. 2010. Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje: biography and perception. MA thesis, University of Amsterdam.
- Damhoeri, A. 1939. *Hoeloebalang Teukoe Oemar* [Warlord Teuku Umar]. Medan: Pustaka Islam Medan.
- Dirks, Nicholas B. 1993. Colonial histories and native informants: biography of an archive. In Carol Appadurai Breckenridge and Peter van der Veer (eds), *Orientalism and the postcolonial predicament: perspectives on South Asia new cultural studies.* Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, pp. 279–313.
- Feener, R.M. 2011. The Acehnese past and its present state of study. In R. Michael Feener, Patrick Daly and Anthony Reid (eds), *Mapping the Acehnese past*. Leiden: KITLV Press.
- Hadi, A. 2011. Exploring Acehnese understandings of jihad: a study of Hikayat Prang Sabi. In R. Michael Feener, Patrick Daly and Anthony Reid (eds), *Mapping the Acehnese past*. Leiden: KITLV Press..
- Hisyam, M. 2001. Caught between three fires: the Javanese pangulu under the Dutch colonial administration 1882–1942. Jakarta: INIS.
- Jahroni, J. 1999. The life and mystical thought of Haji Hasan Mustafa (1852–1930). MA thesis, Leiden University.
- Kamajaya, 1981. *Lima putera-puteri Aceh pahlawan nasional* [Five national heroes of Aceh]. Yogyakarta: UP Indonesia.
- Kaptein, N. and Meij, D. van der. 1995. Delapan tokoh ilmuwan Belanda bagi pengkajian Islam Indonesia [Eight Dutch scientists for Indonesian Islam studies]. Jakarta: INIS.
- Kitzen, M. 2012. Between treaty and treason: Dutch collaboration with warlord Teuku Uma during the Aceh war, a case study on the collaboration with indigenous power-holders in colonial warfare. *Small Wars & Insurgencies* 23 (1): 93–116.
- Koningsveld, P.Sj. van. 1989. Snouck Hurgronje dan Islam, delapan karangan tentang hidup dan karya seorang orientalis zaman kolonial [Snouck Hurgronje and Islam, eight essays on the life and work of an orientalist of the colonial period]. Bandung: Girimukti Pasaka.
- Koningsveld, P.Sj. van. 1990. Pengantar: nasihat-nasihat Snouck Hurgronje sebagai sumber sejarah zaman penjajahan [Forward: the advice of Snouck Hurgronje as a source of colonial period history]. In E. Gobée and C. Adriaanse (eds), Nasihat-nasihat C. Snouck Hurgronje semasa kepegawaiannya kepada pemerintahan Hindia Belanda 1889–1936 [The advice of C. Snouck Hurgronje during his official work in the Dutch East Indies government 1889– 1936]. Vol. 1. Translated by Sukarsi. Jakarta: INIS.
- Laffan, M.F. 1999. Raden Aboe Bakar: an introductory note concerning Snouck Hurgronje's informant in Jeddah (1884–1912). Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde 155 (4): 517–542.
- Laffan, M.F. 2003a. *Islamic nationhood and colonial Indonesia: the umma below the winds*. London: Routledge Curzon.
- Laffan, M.F. 2003b. Writing from the colonial margin: the letters of Aboe Bakar Djajadiningrat to Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje. *Indonesia and the Malay World* 31 (91): 356–380.
- Madjid, M.D. 2014. Catatan pinggir sejarah Aceh: perdagangan, diplomasi dan perjuangan rakyat [Marginal notes on Acehnese history: trade, diplomacy and people's struggles]. Jakarta: YOI.
- McFate, M. 2018. A military anthropologist looks at Islamic insurgency in Aceh. Orbis 62 (4): 632-654.
- Millie, J. 2017. Hasan Mustapa and the Sundanese. In Julian Millie (ed.), *Hasan Mustapa: ethnicity and Islam in Indonesia*. Clayton: Monash Publishing University, pp. 1–23.
- Missbach, A. 2010. The Aceh war (1873–1913) and the influence of Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje. In Arndt Graf, Susanne Schroter and Edwin Wieringa (eds), *Aceh: history, politics and culture.* Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, pp. 39–62.

- Munir, M. el, and Muin, M. 1983. *Teuku Umar pahlawan Aceh* [Teuku Umar, the Acehnese hero]. Bandung: Multi Karya Ilmu.
- Niel, R. van. 1957. Christian Snouck Hurgronje in memory of the centennial of his birth. *Journal of Asian Studies* [old series] 6 (4): 591–594.
- Raben, R. 2012. On genocide and mass violence in colonial Indonesia. *Journal of Genocide Research* 14 (3-4): 485-502.
- Raihan, A. 2014. Pasukan *Marechaussee Te Voet* dalam perang kolonial di Aceh (1890–1913) [Marechaussee troops in the colonial war in Aceh]. BA thesis, Universitas Indonesia.
- Regeerings Almanak voor Nederlandsch-Indie 1893. Tweede gedeelte Kalender en personalia [Second part calendar and personal details]. Landsdrukkerij-Batavia.
- Reid, A. 1990. Perang di jalan Allah by Ibrahim Alfian. Journal of Southeast Asian Studies 21 (1): 164–166.
- Reid, A. 2005. Asal mula konflik Aceh: dari perebutan pantai timur Sumatera hingga akhir Kerajaan Aceh abad ke-19 [The origin of Aceh's conflict: from seizure of east coast of Sumatra to the end of the Aceh kingdom in the 19th century]. Jakarta: Yayasan Obor Indonesia.
- Rohmana, J.A. 2016. Persahabatan penjajah dan bangsa jajahan di Hindia Belanda: C. Snouck Hurgronje dan Haji Hasan Mustapa [Colonial friendship and its colony in the Dutch East Indies: C. Snouck Hurgronje and Haji Hasan Mustapa]. *Afkaruna* 12 (2): 144–68.
- Rohmana, J.A. 2018. Informan Sunda masa kolonial: surat-surat Haji Hasan Mustapa untuk C. Snouck Hurgronje dalam kurun 1894–1923 [Sundanese informant of the colonial period: Haji Hasan Musapa's letters to C. Snouck Hurgronje in the period 1894–1923]. Yogyakarta: Octopus Publishing.
- Rosidi, A. 1989. *Haji Hasan Mustapa jeung karya-karyana* [Haji Hasan Mustapa and his works]. Bandung: Pustaka.
- Said, E. 1975. Beginning and intention. New York: Basic Book Publisher.
- Said, E. 1994. Culture and imperialism. New York: Vintage Book.
- Said, M. 1981. Aceh sepanjang abad [Aceh along the centuries]. 2 vols. Medan: Percetakan dan Penerbitan Waspada Medan.
- Siegel, J.T. 1969. The rope of God. Berkeley CA: University of California Press.
- Snouck Hurgronje, C. 1906. *The Achehnese*. 2 vols. Translated by A.W.S. O'Sullivan. Leiden: E.J. Brill.
- Sofyan, I. 1977. *Perang kolonial Belanda di Aceh* [The Dutch colonial war in Aceh]. Banda Aceh: Pusat Dokumentasi dan Informasi Aceh.
- Solomon, W. 1986. Text and personality: Ajip Rosidi in search of Haji Hasan Mustapa. *Indonesia Circle* 14 (41): 11–27.
- Steenbrink, K. 1995. Kawan dalam pertikaian: kaum kolonial Belanda dan Islam di Indonesia 1596-1942 [Friend in conflict: the Dutch colonial and Islam in Indonesia 1596-1942]. Translated by Suryan A. Jamrah. Bandung: Mizan.
- Suminto, A. 1996. Politik Islam Hindia Belanda [Politics of Islam in the Dutch East Indies]. Jakarta: LP3ES.
- Veer, P. van 't. 1985. *Perang Aceh, kisah kegagalan Snouck Hurgronje* [The Aceh war, story of the failure of Snouck Hurgronje]. Translated by Grafitipers. Jakarta: PT Grafiti Pers.
- Velde, J.J. 1931. Godsdientige rechtspraak in het government Atjeh en onderhoorigheden [Religious jurisdiction in the Aceh government affairs]. *Koloniaal Tijdschrift* 90: 506–507.
- Wertheim, W.F. 1972. Counter-insurgency research at the turn of the century Snouck Hurgronje and the Acheh war. *Sosiologische Gidz* 19: 320–328.
- Witkam, Jan Just. 2007a. Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje's description of Mecca. In C. Snouck Hurgronje, *Mekka in the latter part of the 19th century*. Translated by J.H. Monahan. Leiden: Brill, pp. xiii-xxi.
- Witkam, Jan Just. 2007b. Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje: a *tour d'horizon* of his life and work. In Arnoud Vrolijk and Hans van de Velde (eds), *Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje (1857–1936)*. *Orientalist*. Leiden: Leiden University Library, pp. 11–31.

Appendix

The text of Hasan Mustapa's *Risālah wal'ah al-nārīn fī waq'ah al-dūrīn*, the treatise on the flames of the durian incident. This treatise is one of Hasan Mustapa's letters from Kutaraja, dated 19 July 1893, addressed to C. Snouck Hurgronje in Batavia. It is kept in the Snouck Hurgronje Archive in Leiden University Library, Or. 18.097 S.16.2.020-021.

رسالة ولعة النارين في وقعة الدورين

قال بعضهم إن يوما من هذا الشهر مر جهاعة من رعية تكو عمر يشيلون الدورين ومثله من الفواكه فلما وصلوا إلى حارة حي أسن من رعية تكو أمين نهبوا الدورين ومثله وتقاتلوا وشرد المقطوعين إلى حارة حي بنتاغ ودخلوا فيها وحرقوا بيوت هذه الحارة فاشتكي حيى بنتاغ إلى تكو عمر فأمر بجمع الجنود من تسع الرجاجيل فحاربوا جنود تكو أمين مائتين نفر ودخل عساكر تكو أمين في قلاع وحاصر جنود تكو عمر عليهم في يومين والمساعدون لهم ما يقدرون يدخلون فيها وفي يوم الأحد ١٦ يولى انحرق بيوت حوائر تكو عمر حتى ببته وأبو زوجته تكو ننتا حولوه إلى غبيه وذبح تكو عمر بيده تسع أنفار فمات جاعة تكو أمين في هذا الحرب ٨٠ ومنهم أخوه تكو أوبيب قيل وولده تغكو تنا أبيه ومن داخل دائرة الدولة فغلما مسجد رايا وجهاعته يساعدون تكو عمر والدولة تساعده بالبنادق والبارود والرصاص فلما حوطوا القلاع و إن ترامت الرصاصات من داخل تقربوا إلى أن مسكوا القلاع وحصلوا الذين في بطنها فندروا منها وفي صبحية الاثنين سكت الحرب وفي ظهريته تصوتت البنادق من الطرفين وتولعت الدخان حريقة الحوائر والالثرّ فالغضب من تكو عمر لإنحراق البيوت وعادة حرابة أجيه الغلبة بدخول حارته وتخريبها ولو بقطع شجر موزها واحدة لا سيما بحرق البيوت والغضب من تكو أمين موت أخيه وجنوده ومن أجل انخرقت العادة في هذه الحرابة قال بعضهم إن أصل الأصيل إنه بعد مدة مر جهاعة من النساء رعية تكو عمر لْطَوغْ مَنَارَة . والعريس إسمه پاماعي فلما وصلن كوت أسن. وهو إسم للشجر الكبير الذي يقال بالملايو لغسانا. وهو المحل الذي كان فيه قلعة الكمفني فكان بدا نهب جاعة تكو أمين وتقاتلوا حتى انقتل منهن قيل ٤ ومن القاطعين ٤ فسكتوا عن هذا لأن البيلا كافي وتكوُّ عمر ما التفت إليه ثم وقع الآن هذا الأمر ثانيا يزعله بالمرة فقال الكذبة جني نا فرغ رايا فالمتفرجون من قلعة لم جامو ولم تيه. فعلى ما سمعنا أكاذيهم أن رعية مسجد رايا يكثرون أموات جنود تكو أمين وغيرهم يكثرون أموات تكو عمر. ولا بد يحصل لكم التحقيق من الأخبار الداخلية وما نسمع منهم من يقول مسلمين لأن الحرابة بينهم ومن يقول فراغ سبيل لذلك قيل وأكبر ما يكون الحرب يوم الثالوث ١٨ يولى في بلاغ وما حوالينه قالوا انخرجت جهاعة تكو عمر ولكَّن قيل جاءت مساعدة الكمفني له ٤٠٠ بندق وألوف الرصاص والبارود بالكفالة واستئنوا في لم بارو ما نقدر رعية تكو أمين متوفرين قلنا بالضحك لواحد من جهاعة مسجد رايا أيوه بعد هناك تحرقوا البمبو يصيح صياح البنادق حتى تقولوا الرصاص والبارود خلصت وما ترموا به ولاشيئ ضحك قال لكم فكره في ذلك قلنا نعم وتورولنا لطاخ الدم بلسع الفأچة حتى تخبرونا بالرصاص وتشققوا ثيابكم تقولوا بجرجرة الأعداء ضحك قال حاشا قيل حتى لم كوتا الذي رجع من بتوي انجرح ساقه ولا يموت واليوم جاءنا تڤكو جاب أڠكوڠ شباب صورته سنداڠ سوا٢ لو يسير بداله ما غاب على بالكم اشترى ٣ ريال أچيه سميناه تكو أوبيب لأن اليوم يوم دفنه قلنا كيف يقولوا لهذه الحرابة فراغ سبيل قال أيوه بدليل ان أمس اختلفوا في وجوب غسل شهدائهم فتصفحوا الكتب فوجدوا النص الصريح بلزوم تغسيلهم قلنا أي الطرف الذي يقال أورغ مسلمين قال جنود تكو أمين لعدم مساعدة الدولة قلنا لأيش الدولة تساعد تكو عمر الخائن قال قيل إنه با يطيع الكمفني ولو هو طلب المساعدة حط الكفيل أخ مرءته ولد تكو ننتا واتفقنا تكو ثمان قال إنا نباع برهن البنادق قيل اليوم راح عندهم تكو نيك واثنين أفسر وجنود ٦ مقيم وسيرة أعْكوعُ إن يمكن نرسل في البابور الآتي لضيق وقته الآن لطلب المساعدة وأحسن لكم تجازي تتْكو براهيم بمطلوبه تحضروا له تانمان جروك بالى والساوو با يزرعه في لم يهوق وطلب مناكتابته هذه حتى ترسلوا له ولو على إسمنا ونرجوا منكم أن تكاتبوا لهم على وجه مساعدتنا لا تجيبوا سيرة إسمنا حتى لايضجكوا علينا وعليكم مثل سبرة تعطيل الإشغال والسلام من الصاحب المفهوم . كوتراج مراح الكتاب ١٩ يولي ١٨٩٣ ج حسن مصطفى

Translation

The treatise on the flames of the durian incident

Some of them [the Acehnese] said that one day in this month [July 1893], there was a group of Teuku Umar's people who passed through [the way]. They brought durians and many kind of fruits. When they reached the village of Chik Asan, the people of Teuku [sic] Amin [Teungku

Amin] robbed them off their durians and other fruits. They then attacked each other. The people who were waylaid ran to Chik Bintang's village. They [Teungku Amin's troops] entered the village and burned down the houses in the village. Chik Bintang complained to Teuku Umar who then assembled a troop from nine teams of guerrilla fighters. They attacked 200 of Teungku Amin's soldiers. Teungku Amin's troops entered the fort. Teuku Umar's troops then besieged it for two days. The people who helped Teuku Umar could not assail the fort.

On Sunday, 16 July [1893], many houses in Teuku Umar's villages were burnt, including that of Teuku Umar and his father-in-law, Teuku Nanta [Cut Nyak Dien's father]. They [Teuku Umar's troops] evacuated him to evade detection. Teuku Umar butchered with his hands nine people. Eighty people of Teungku Amin's troops died in this war. Among them were his brother, Teuku Obeb, and the son of Teungku Tana Abeh [Tanoh Abee]. Meanwhile, people who entered the [Dutch colonial] government area, the commander of the Great Mosque and his community, assisted Teuku Umar. The [Dutch] government supported him [Teuku Umar] with rifles, bullets and weapons. When they besieged the fort [of Teungku Amin], bullets were fired from within [the fort]. They approached to seize the fort. Finally, they arrested the people inside [who] came out of the fort.

On Monday morning [17 July 1893], the war ended. However, bullets fired could be heard from both parties. Smoke also billowed from the burning villages and reeds. It seemed that Teuku Umar's anger was ignited by the burning houses. The tradition of the Aceh war was that those who win would enter the village and destroy it [and it could be just be] by cutting down banana trees or burning houses. The anger towards Teungku Amin was due to the death of his brother [Teuku Umar's] and his troops, and that war is a destructive custom.

Some of them [the Acehnese] said that the main reason [for the civil war] was [the incident] shortly after a bride's entourage of Teuku Umar's followers passed through Luteung Manarah. The bride's name is Nyak Ma'i. When they arrived in Kuta Asan – which is the name of a big tree called *langsana* [*pterocarpus indicus*] in Malay and a place where there is a fort of the Dutch soldiers in Peukan Bada – Teungku Amin's troops robbed [the entourage]. They attacked each other until supposedly four females from the entourage and four people of the waylayers were killed. They [Teuku Umar's followers] were silent about this, because they were defending the infidels (*bela kaphe*). Teuku Umar did not interfere with this case. Then there is now the second case [the durian incident] that again displeased him.

The liars [people] said that 'We have won the great war.' People witnessed from the fort of Lam Jamo and Lam Teh. Based on what I heard from their lies, the people of the Great Mosque caused the deaths of many of Teungku Amin's troops, while others [said that the people of the Great Mosque] brought about the deaths of Teuku Umar's followers. You have to hold an investigation on the news from the inside area [Aceh palace]. I do not hear from them that there are those who say 'Muslims', because the war is between themselves, and [I also do not hear] people who say 'the holy war' [*prang sabil*] for that [civil war].

It is said that the biggest war ever was on the third day [Tuesday], 18 July [1893], in Blang and the surrounding area. They said that Teuku Umar's group came out. However, it was also said that Dutch soldiers' assistance of as many as 400 rifles, thousands of bullets, and weapons had arrived for Teuku Umar by agreement. They slowly acted in Lam Baro. I had no measure of the number Teungku Amin's people who were on guard. I said with a laugh to one of the members of the Great Mosque, 'Yes, after you get there, you should burn a bamboo tree that makes the sound of weapons firing.' So that they [the Dutch soldiers] will say that the bullets and weapons were used. They will not check it, nor will they laugh at all. He said, 'You have thoughts like that?' I replied, 'Yes. It has been shown to me, bloodstains from leech attacks. They [Teuku Umar's troops] informed me [that the bloodstains were] from the bullets. They also tore their [own] clothes and said that they were dragged by the enemy.' He laughed. He then said, 'He [Teuku Umar] made things unusable.'

It is said that even Lam Kuta, who had just returned from Batavia, was injured in the calf of leg, but did not die. Teungku [Brahim] today came to me. He described Engkong whose picture looks like a youth carrying *siwa-siwa* [Acehnese traditional weapon]. The replacement [of his position] will be easy. He will not disappear from your memory [because he has] bought three Aceh riyals. I named him Teuku Obeb because today is the day of his [Teuku Obeb's] burial.

I said [to Teungku Brahim], 'What do they [Acehnese] think about this *prang sabil*?' He said, 'Yes, based on the indication that yesterday they dissented with the obligation to bathe the martyrs among them, they opened the books and got a clear exposition about the obligation to bathe the martyr.' I said, 'Which side is called Muslims?' He [Teungku Brahim] answered, 'Teungku Amin's troops because they received no assistance from the [Dutch] government.' I said, 'Why did the government help Teuku Umar who betrayed [the Aceh fighters]?' He said, 'It is said that it was based on his obedience to the Dutch government, even though he [Teuku Umar] also asked for help to provide assurance [security] for his wife's brother, the son of Teuku Nanta [Cut Nyak Dien's brother]. Teuku [Umar] has agreed with me on the price [of payment].' He [Teungku Brahim] said, 'I was bought with a rifle as guarantee.'

It is said that today Teuku Nek, two officers, and the troops of VI Mukim have come [Teuku Umar's troops]. The story of Engkong above, if possible, I will send it via the next train because currently, there is limited time to ask for help. It would be good if you respond to Teungku Brahim's request for pomelo and sapodilla plants. He will plant them in Lam Buhok. He also told me that you should send the reply letter to him even though [the envelope containing Teungku Brahim's letter] was written on my behalf. I hope that you write to them [Dutch officials] and show the help I have provided. Don't describe the story [of wanting to step down from being Chief Penghulu] with my name so that they don't mock me. You have to explain the story about the stoppage of this work [as Chief Penghulu, without mentioning my name]. Greetings from a friend who already understands.

Kutaraja, 19 July 1893. Haji Hasan Mustapa